


Hoist on his own petard



**WHILE BLAIR WARNS BRITAIN
ABOUT TERRORIST ATTACKS, HIS
SUPPORT OF BUSH'S CRUSADE
AGAINST THE ISLAMIC WORLD
MAKES THEM ALL THE MORE LIKELY**

**See
Page
two**

WHAT WE THINK

Hoist on their own petard

The Blair Government seemed to be at pains last month to warn the British public to be prepared for terrorist attacks. This warning was given some semblance of credence by the arrest of six North Africans in connection with an alleged plot to release poison gas in the London Underground network during the rush hour, and the Home Office sounded an alert over the poison gas threat shortly afterwards. Later, this announcement of a possible poison gas attack was withdrawn – which all seemed rather strange.

Of the six men arrested, three were charged under the Prevention of Terrorism Act with possession of articles for terrorist purposes. All three were reported to be unemployed and were picked up as a result of police raids on various addresses in North London known to be used as drop-ins by Algerian, Moroccan and Tunisian immigrants. Some press reports hinted that the prosecutions of the three could fail, which

Nationalist comment

prompts the thought that the evidence of their alleged intentions could not be very firm. Again, all rather strange.

It is early to jump to conclusions about all this, but because we have a prime minister and a government who simply cannot be trusted to tell the truth we should be very much on our guard – and not just against terrorists. Are Tony & Co. uneasy about the British public's enthusiasm (or lack of it) for the pending war against Iraq? Have they been seized by the itch to say something or do something which they hope will generate the desired war hysteria? With these people, anything is possible. Of course, such terrorist threats as they are now highlighting would most probably come from Al-Qaida, whereas the war they are trying to whip everyone up to support would be against Saddam Hussein. Maybe, though, our lords and masters have so low an estimate of the public's intelligence that they think the difference will not be noticed. The important thing, from their point of view, would be to stoke up a state of anti-terrorist fever in the country calculated to reduce the people to a state of such alarm and paranoia that they will back just about anything the Government proposes – including, of course, an attack on Iraq. After all, it's all about Muslims and the Middle East, innit?

Thinking Britons, however, will notice two things that Blair and his cohorts would prefer they ignore. They involve the 'Why' and the 'How' – or what in crime-detection circles are classified as *Motive* and *Opportunity*.

Just *why* would Islamic militants put themselves at risk in bringing terror to

Britain? The very simple answer is that Britain is supporting President Bush's drive for war against Iraq and his total, unreserved and uncritical backing for Israel. In that respect, this country differs from its European neighbours like France and Germany, who are not quite so ready to act as America's lackeys and go for ever where it leads. Consequence: Britain could be threatened by terror while they are not.

And what of the 'How' – the opportunity? Simple again! The United Kingdom is just teeming with Muslims from every part of the world and of every type. Officially, there are known to be a million here; in reality there are probably many more. They provide a huge pool of potential terrorists and, what with their numbers on the streets and everywhere else, the terrorists among them are all the harder to detect. In the days when this country was blessed with some degree of national sanity and our population was overwhelmingly white, the sight of men of Middle Eastern or Asian appearance in the vicinity of an airport, a railway or tube station or some other large and busy public place would, in the present situation, immediately attract suspicion and thus make apprehension and prevention comparatively easy. Now such people could be up to anything, legal or illegal. They could be shop retailers going to see their suppliers. They could be asylum-seekers on their way to the nearest social security office. They could be folk proceeding to work for some business or organisation run by one of the growing legions of 'equal-opportunity' employers. They're bloody everywhere! Who is to know who among them is a terrorist?

For both these things – motive and opportunity, the 'Why' and the 'How' – we have to thank the present Government and its predecessors, who have been responsible for the policies that have made us an enemy of the billion-plus people of the Islamic world and who have enabled a portion of that billion-plus to encamp here and multiply.

Remember this when Tony next starts sounding the alarm about Islamic terror!

Memories of Cap'n Bob

When Labour Party MP, press czar and international crook Robert Maxwell was fished out of the Atlantic eleven years ago last month the media were almost, though not quite, unanimous in their verdict that he had committed suicide. Maxwell's business empire was on the skids, and his creditors were closing in on him. He had done himself in because he could see no other escape.

Spearhead was one of the very few publications to disagree. In this very column in our December 1991 issue we said:

"... one agency which might have had a reason to bump off Maxwell, as well as

Spearhead

No. 406 DECEMBER 2002
PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT
Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken as representing the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are of around 1,000 to 1,850 words. *Spearhead* is currently produced using Serif PagePlus 6 software. Articles sent on 3.5" computer disk will be welcome if compatible. To ensure acceptance, however, they should also be sent in printed or typewritten format. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or in any other way amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles in other publications should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.

the necessary means of doing so, is the very Israeli Secret Service Mossad – with whom the late press baron's name has been linked..."

We went on to suggest that Maxwell, through these very links, had information on Mossad which if disclosed could be highly embarrassing. Hence a strong motive for getting rid of him.

Now, more than a decade on, there is confirmation that we were almost certainly right. In a two-part story by Gordon Thomas and Martin Dillon published in the *Daily Mail* last month, it was revealed that Maxwell, desperate for an immediate £400 million to prop up his empire, asked for help from Mossad, which was and is, of course, an arm of the Israeli Government. When the plea fell on deaf ears, Maxwell started to get threatening, in other words to apply blackmail. He said he would blow the whistle on Mossad in respect of the numerous operations in which he had been engaged on its behalf. This, of course, was an extremely unwise thing to do when dealing with an organisation of that kind, but Cap'n Bob did just that – showing that, clever though he was, he had a blind spot. Mossad duly did as one would expect them to do in such circumstances. A party of 'specialists' boarded his yacht, plunged a poisoned needle into the back of his neck and dumped him overboard.

In the second instalment of this story, published on the 13th November, a fascinating account was given of Maxwell's double life as 'British' press lord and Israeli agent with contacts in the world's main capitals and with virtually all the really powerful people of his time, including the head of the KGB, one Vladimir Kryuchkov. Of all his comings and goings worldwide, the most revealing was the story of his activities as a super-salesman for a computer software programme called Promis, which had been developed by an American inventor but was eagerly taken up by the Israeli Secret Service, one of whose chiefs, Rafi Eitan, saw its immense possibilities for international espionage. In the *Daily Mail* story Promis was described as "one of the most potent spying tools ever created." It went on to say:

"It could keep track of terrorists and drug-runners by tracing everything from credit card receipts to how much electricity was being used in a suspect's home. "It could observe movements in and out of bank accounts anywhere in the world, and even adjust the settings on missiles in a nuclear submarine. In short, it could revolutionise intelligence-gathering."

The story went on to describe how Eitan visited the US posing as a public prosecutor from the Israeli Ministry of Justice. With such credentials, he apparently had access just about anywhere and everywhere in the country that mattered. He spirited off a copy

of the software from the American Justice Department. Back in Israel, the story continued, "the software was redesigned with new elements to make it impossible for the Americans to claim ownership." And it went on:

"Then Eitan delivered his master-stroke. A high-level 'trap door' was inserted into the system that would allow Israeli intelligence to access whatever information was being tracked by its user... Eitan's plan was to sell the doctored software around the world, knowing that any country that bought it would be inviting Israel to spy on them – and paying for the privilege."

There was just thing needed: a master salesman who would flog the programme to the world's intelligence services while not disclosing any links with Israeli intelligence. Enter Robert Maxwell, who had his own company, Degem Computers, under the guise of which the whole project could be made to appear no more than a normal commercial enterprise.

For all this, Cap'n Bob raked in a profit of 15 per cent on every sale. He sold the programme to intelligence-gathering services all over the world, including Britain and the United States (in the latter case with the help of a 'bought' Senator). Needless to say, he made many millions.

It all boils down to this. Through the brains of Eitan and the persuasive tongue of Robert Maxwell, Israeli intelligence had a device which enabled them to pirate information from intelligence services of many nations without the latter being in the slightest way aware of the fact.

The big question remaining to be asked is: just why should a tiny nation in the Middle East wish to tap into intelligence services all over the world as if it were at war with the nations concerned?

And from that question another arises. In going to war with Saddam Hussein's Iraq on the pretext that it is in possession of equipment which could damage us, are we bypassing a far more dangerous target?

Facing both ways

How's this for New Labour logic? The Government has announced it is bringing out a new set of laws concerning sex offences. One of these laws will create a new offence: that of 'grooming' children for paedophile abuse. This will mean that, for instance, if an adult does no more than buy a child an ice cream such an act could be classed as criminal if the purpose is to ensnare the child for sexual activity.

Just supposing that that were the purpose we would wholeheartedly endorse coming down heavily on the adult in question. But how can it be proved? In the vast majority of cases where this happens, the intention is

wholly innocent. Of course, if the ice-cream buyer had a string of previous offences for sexual abuse of children, the probability of intent of this kind would be strong. But that would be very different from proving such intent in a court of law. We don't believe that adults with such records should ever be set free in the first place, but we are dealing with the situation as it is now, not as we would prefer it to be. The intent behind the buying of the ice cream would have to be established beyond doubt. We just cannot see how this could be done – and that applies to many other cases of friendly approaches by adults to children which have no evil motive.

So just why is the Government planning to bring in such a law? We suspect that it is all just a knee-jerk reaction to an outraged public opinion prompted by the desire to be seen "doing something" – anything rather than nothing. It is just 'gesture' politics, not backed up by any really serious thinking about the practical problems of enforcement. It is typical of Tony Blair's Government.

But while the Government is taking what it hopes will be seen as a firm line in this sector it intends to make concessions to permissiveness, liberalism and political correctness in another sector. The same batch of new legislation is going to make 'cottageing' (the hunting for homosexual partners in public places) legal. It will be remembered that it was this practice which brought about the downfall of New Labour's Minister for Wales Ron Davies back in 1998.

Typically, the Blair Government looks at matters of legislation, not from the standpoint of defending private and public morals and protecting decent society, but with a view to the satisfying of various lobbies. A crumb is offered to the large Middle Britain lobby in the way of high-sounding but probably unenforceable legislation against those being friendly to children, while a substantial slice is given to the queer lobby by making it easier to pick up 'gay' bedfellows.

Much ado about nothing

We feel sure that the vast majority of *Spearhead* readers will have been less than riveted by the story of Paul Burrell and the alleged theft of the belongings of Princess Diana which became the main public obsession in late October and early November. In one paper, catering for the 'middlebrow' market, no less than thirteen pages, including the front page, were devoted to this affair on one day around that time.

Such mind-numbing trivia provide an excellent example of our hallowed 'free press' which is supposed to be one of the symbolic glories of 'democracy'. Of course, all this is very convenient as long as it takes the people's minds off the really serious issues affecting Britain's future.

Where does the buck stop?

THE British National Party suffered ridicule and humiliation as a result of the quite disastrous documentary 'Young, Nazi and Proud' broadcast in the *Dispatches* programme on Channel Four TV on the 4th November. A full analysis of this fiasco appears elsewhere in this issue. Here we concentrate on the key questions arising out of it: How did it happen? And who was to blame?

The main character in the documentary, Mark Collett, has subsequently been dismissed as leader of the Young BNP, the party organisation upon which it was focused, and summoned to face a disciplinary tribunal set up to enquire into the shambles. This was prominently announced on the party's website a few days after the showing. The website release also spoke of his (Collett's) 'naïvety' while praising his 'self-restraint'. But perhaps most astonishingly of all, it said that "... it would have been a thousand times better if Mark had not placed himself – and hence the party – in such a position in the first place."

This latter comment beggars belief. It was not Mark Collett who placed himself in the position in which his performance brought down ridicule on the BNP; it was Nick Griffin! Mr. Griffin is head of the party. In his hands lie decisions as to which party representatives will feature in TV programmes and which formats in those programmes the party will accept. To talk as if the blame lay with Collett – and with him alone – amounts to a disgraceful evasion of responsibility.

It was upon Mr. Griffin's decision that Mark Collett was appointed leader of the Young BNP. It was on Mr. Griffin's decision that he was permitted to be filmed in the documentary. It was upon Mr. Griffin's decision that the makers of the programme were given *carte blanche* to film Collett in the various settings in which was shown. And it

was Mr. Griffin's responsibility to ensure that Collett was properly briefed as to how to conduct himself. In the website release it was stated that Collett would take part in "an extensive 'debriefing' session aimed at helping the party formulate policies and training procedures for use in future approaches by the broadcast media." This sounds like a classic case of shutting the stable door after the horse has bolted. The briefing should have all been carried out before, not after the damage had been done.

It is not even as if Mr. Griffin had not had prior warning of what might happen. Back in April of this year the *Daily Mirror* published a report written by Jon Clements following attendance by the writer at certain Young BNP gatherings,

including a camp in Wales. The report quoted numerous foolish and irresponsible statements made by Young BNP personnel, including Collett, which Mr. Griffin later dismissed as 'juvenile'. So if the inexperienced Mr. Collett had once shown himself to be prone to make juvenile comments to the media, how on earth did it come about that he was authorised to take part in a TV programme like *Dispatches* – and, moreover, do so without any proper supervision which would have stipulated rules about locations, dress, filmshots and other important matters which bore upon the image of the party?

But this previous episode resulting in the *Daily Mirror* report did not seem to have diminished Mr. Griffin's confidence in his young colleague, for the nation heard him in the *Dispatches* programme suggesting that he (Collett) might even be a future leader of the BNP!

It seems very much as if Mark Collett is being made the whipping boy for a fiasco for which the main can should be carried by the party leadership.

But will there be a frank acknowledgement of this? Readers should not hold their breath!

See 'A public relations disaster' (pages 6-9)



NICK GRIFFIN

Was none of it his fault?

The things they're saying

The price of our poodle-like support of the US is being spelt out, though with confusion. We are to be concerned about terrorist attacks, but not alarmed, anxious but not dismayed, vigilant but not afraid. Sadly, the warnings are believable.

We should not accept this as an inevitable price to pay for doing our duty in the so-called war on terrorism. The US was the target for September 11 last year.

It had long been under threat. No threat then existed to us. We were not funding or arming Israel, which was at the heart of the problem – an Israel which has become increasingly aggressive over the past year under Ariel Sharon.

ANDREW ALEXANDER
Daily Mail (15.11.02)

There is still general bewilderment as to why Mr. Blair is so desperately keen to stand shoulder to shoulder with George Bush, especially when it puts us all in the front line for al-Qaeda attacks...

Rev. Blair suffers from that most dangerous of urges – the urge to put the world to rights. Unlike Mr. Bush, he has no special need of Iraqi oil, no special need to prop up the Likud party in Israel. What he would like to be seen doing is making life rather nicer for the Iraqis by getting rid of their nasty dictator.

Hence, in his Monte Carlo broadcast he told them how their standard of living would be much higher if their president didn't spend so much money on his presidential palaces.

I don't know if there was a phone-in afterwards but if I was an Iraqi listener I might have asked Blair how he squared this with the millions of pounds he and his friend Peter Mandelson lavished on their own version of a palace, the Millennium Dome at Greenwich, which is just as ugly and pointless a status symbol as any of Saddam's.

RICHARD INGRAMS
The Observer (17.11.02)

An ingenuous fraud has been practised on the nice people of this country, whatever their political allegiance. It has robbed them of their ability to think for themselves.

It has stigmatised normal human attitudes and healthy prejudices as not merely nasty but positively evil. Thus to believe in differences between races ('racism') is stigmatised as racial hatred; to believe in differences between the sexes ('sexism') is stigmatised as misogyny; to believe that sexual deviants are deviant ('homophobia') is stigmatised as violent hatred of homosexuals.

It is simply a perversion of language. As a system of thought-control, it is a triumphant success. It has made gullible people believe

EXTRACTS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

that not only to believe in it is 'nasty', shameful and, worst of all, unfashionable. It has made the Conservative Party pathetically anxious to show they conform to this powerful fraud ('political correctness'), whether they really believe in it or not.

PETER SIMPLE
Daily Telegraph (1.11.02)

The Tories should be scoring a bullseye on the big issues every day.

Instead they go along meekly with Labour's spending plans and tie themselves in knots over gay adoptions, which probably affect a few dozen people in Britain at most.

They walked into that one.

The Conservatives are incapable of making the political weather. Instead of setting the agenda, they stumble from one Labour trap to another.

Instead up speaking up for what they believe in, they spend their time apologising for being 'nasty' – translated as having the audacity not to go along with everything New Labour and the Guardianistas want to foist upon us.

RICHARD LITTLEJOHN
The Sun (8.11.02)

We now live in a society in which Posh and Becks are held in higher regard than most of the Royal Family. Respect for business leaders has never been lower. For generations past, those at the bottom of the social ladder aspired dimly to the values of those at the top. They wanted to talk proper, to wear suits. They respected 'real gents'. Not any more.

Vulgar celebrity is esteemed more than plodding honesty. No moral stigma attaches to any crime save paedophilia. An upmarket accent is a handicap in most careers. You will get more sidelong glances if you attend church on Sundays than if you sniff cocaine. The doctrine of 'fairness' has been elevated to the supreme virtue. Everyone must win, and be given prizes. I exaggerate, but the drift is not unjust. The consequence is not that the leader of the Conservative Party must be a yob or a drug-user or an unmarried mother, but that he or she must be able to talk to a nation in which there are a great many of these people.

MAX HASTINGS
Sunday Telegraph (3.11.02)

Dark forces plainly are at work. Seldom in history has a stable, happy, free and rich society been so anxious to destroy itself. Either there is something in the water or many of our most influential citizens are actively working to mess up the country –

which of course they are. This sinister conspiracy is called the Government, and it is high time it, too, had a proper *annus horribilis*, of the kind the Queen is always being forced to undergo.

PETER HITCHENS
The Mail on Sunday (10.11.02)

Your report highlighted just how important British farming is to the entire food industry in this country.

This is a point that the National Farmers' Union has made time and time again. Farming forms the basis of a £100 billion food industry, accounting for 10 per cent of GNP and 12 per cent of total employment. It also makes a vital contribution to other sectors of the economy such as rural tourism.

Our farmers produce two thirds of all food eaten in Britain, but farmers are no longer valued for their food production function. Why should they be when food processors and retailers can import cheaply from abroad?

It is vital that the Government recognises the need for farmers to be able to compete in world markets, and does not burden them with red tape and extra regulations.

If we lose farming, we risk exporting our entire food industry – something no other country in the world would be prepared to do.

DERRICK WILKINSON
Letter in Daily Telegraph 25.10.02

Those in the liberal establishment who ignore the consequences of unfettered illegal immigration are quick to play the race card and say that any objection to mass immigration is rooted in racism.

Also, the left favours mass immigration because it helps to achieve its aim of destroying national identity and the existence of the nation-state.

Migrationwatch argues that there is precious little room in this country for further immigration by anyone... Roads and railways are already at breaking point, with other public services under severe strain. It also explodes the myth about the supposed economic benefits of mass immigration. Cheap immigrant labour reduces the employment prospects for those unskilled people already here.

SIMON HEFFER
Daily Mail (2.11.02)

There must be many people in England who would like to be able to vote for a party that stood against the inexorable process of decay and tried to stop, or better still, reverse it.

PETER SIMPLE
Daily Telegraph (8.11.02)

IT HAS been a loudly proclaimed article of faith over the past three years that the British National Party has been undergoing an upgrade in its public image, contrived to make the party more 'acceptable' and 'electable'. Not only has this been the 'party line' assiduously promoted by the post-1999 BNP leadership by means of its publications and bulletins, but the theme has been eagerly taken up by certain sections of the mass media for reasons that have seemed bewildering to many nationalists.

This particular 'better-image' story has, of course, always been a myth. The BNP was undergoing a process of continuing modernisation and image-improvement ever since the onset of the 1990s, and nothing has happened since the leadership change in 1999 to mark any special new developments in this regard. This truth has been regularly reiterated in these pages, though to a number of people it still requires reiterating further; in these matters nationalists can be as gullible as the general newspaper-reading and TV-viewing British public, and a fact often has to be driven home to them with constant repetition before it finally sinks in.

It is against this background that we must make an appraisal of the *Dispatches* programme broadcast on Channel Four TV on November 4th titled 'Young, Nazi and Proud'; but before we do so it is well that we should recall words written by party chairman Nick Griffin in *Identity* magazine back in June this year. In an article headed 'Moving forward for good' Mr. Griffin stressed certain rules which he said it was imperative to observe in the process of making the BNP more acceptable to the British public. To illustrate his theme, he listed what he called the 'Three H's', which he urged party members particularly to avoid: 'Hard Talk, Hobbyism and Hitler'. Let us look at what he had to say on these matters.

'Hard Talk', said Nick, meant allowing understandable anger to spill over into injudicious political statements which might be picked up by establishment journalists lurking nearby and then published or broadcast as if they were representative of BNP policy. And Nick continued on this theme:-


"Never say anything to anybody which your fellow members wouldn't be happy to see quoted on *News at Ten*. If you can't abide by that rule, then the time to leave is now, before you unwittingly provide some repulsive left-wing hack with a

A PUBLIC RELATIONS DISASTER

stick with which to beat us."

No one in his right mind would object to this kind of injunction, for it is an obviously commonsense rule that we have known about, and almost invariably followed, over

many years before Mr. Griffin ever entered the party. Of course, when he referred to "some repulsive left-wing hack," he was in this context speaking of an undercover journalist mingling with the BNP crowd and



Right: The photo that should never have been taken. This is Mark Collett in the street in Leeds as shown on the front cover of *The Sunday Times Magazine* (note mosque in background).

unknown to them as such; it goes without saying that the injunction should have carried double the weight when the journalist in question was in the open and clearly identifiable.

When it came to 'Hobbyism', Mr. Griffin had a special word to say about skinheads. The BNP, he asserted, must ditch the last vestiges of what he called "this unfairly demonised youth cult." Although he spoke in the article specifically of shaven hair, it must be assumed that he also had in mind certain skinhead clothing fashions such as Doc Marten boots and trousers cut off half-way down the lower leg.

'HITLER THE ENEMY'

Then Nick came to Nazism and the Third Reich. "The third 'H' which we have to identify and openly reject as an enemy," he said, "is Hitler." And he continued:-

"Thankfully, the time has long gone when the BNP would tolerate the known presence of deluded individuals as members or on our fringes with a perverted nostalgia for the 1930s. But we now have to promote our anti-nazi credentials more vigorously, not just to dilute the impact of further media fantasy stories, but to leave no room for doubt in the minds of the British people about who we are and where we are going."

Mr. Griffin went on at some length in similar vein, occupying about a further page and a quarter emphasising the need for the party to distance itself firmly from any suggestion of 'nazi' imagery. Of course, in this regard he was only telling Grandmother how to suck eggs because virtually everybody in the BNP, and certainly everybody who in the past or present has played a leading role, has long known of such needs and has generally adhered to them. In a critical article appearing shortly afterwards in *Spearhead* we did say that it was demanding too much of people to dictate what their private views on matters of history should be or what they should say to others in strictly private surroundings; but over the general rule that the party in its public policy and on public occasions should avoid any possible taint of 'nazism' there was no disagreement. Our critical article said of this matter that: "If some BNP speaker gets on a platform and praises Hitler, then he must certainly be silenced and disciplined." It should go without saying that the same rule should apply to a BNP representative speaking on television.

Now let us examine what happened on the *Dispatches* programme on November 4th. The focus of the programme was on the Young BNP, the party's youth section, and for this purpose the chosen representative was its leader Mark Collett. Many, if not most, of our readers will know what happened. Cameras and a reporter, one David Modell, followed Collett around on

several of his party and private engagements and also depicted him relaxing. He was shown at home with his family, two proud parents and a sister; collecting his degree from Leeds University; settling into his new lodgings in a heavily multi-racial sector of the same city which had been paid for by the party; electioneering in Bradford as a council candidate; confronting left-wing demonstrators outside the town hall on the night of the election count; lolling half-undressed back at home on his bed; shaving; exercising with a barbell; driving about in his car; attending and speaking at the party's Red, White & Blue summer festival in Lancashire last August.

Parallel with the TV programme was an article, written by Modell, which appeared in the *Sunday Times Magazine* just over a week before. The article told the reader some of the juiciest bits from the TV programme, together with some background information by the writer. On the front page of the magazine was a picture of Collett standing in the street near to his new home with a large mosque in the background.

This picture was a foretaste of what to expect. The subject was scruffily attired in a manner not far from the skinhead stereotype. The hair was very close-cropped though not quite shaven. The footwear consisted of trainers, though that difference of detail might not have been noticed by the non-connoisseur of the skinhead cult. The trousers were shabby and unpressed and were of standard skinhead length. Around the subject's neck hung what appeared to be a necklace.

Not too much blame should be attached to the young Mr. Collett over this unfortunate piece of imagery, for he is only 21 and the product of a youth culture in which such things are the accepted norm. Blame should fall squarely on the shoulders of his party seniors for ever allowing such a picture to be taken.

TALKING 'OFF THE RECORD'

Interviewer Modell cunningly enticed young Mark into thinking that sometimes he was talking on the record and sometimes off the record. Mark assumed that in the latter case he could say whatever came into his head, for it would not be put on tape and broadcast. This turned out to be an extremely dangerous, indeed disastrous, assumption. Here are some examples of his heart-baring which some might think fit neatly into leader Griffin's definition of 'hard talk'.

On one occasion he quoted his father as saying that the people with AIDS should be dragged off and shot, then forgotten. He went on to suggest that AIDS was a 'friendly disease' because it mainly affected "Blacks, drug-users and gays."

On another he pronounced that National Socialism was the best solution for Germany in the 1930s and that "Jewish communism

was ripping Germany apart at the time." But and he continued:-

"It's not an issue on the streets of Britain today. The issue is these militant Islamists. I honestly can't understand how a man who's seen the inner-city hell of Britain today can't look back with a certain nostalgia and think (that) all those happy people marching through the streets, saluting and everything, was a bad thing. Would you prefer your kids to grow up in Oldham and Burnley or 1930s Germany?"

And a little later, with touching modesty:- "Hitler will live on for ever. And maybe I will."

Getting away from Nazi Germany for a moment, Mark turned his attention to the breakdown of his relationship with a recent girlfriend, which he claimed was due to her opposition to the BNP. Dwelling upon this, he let loose what appeared to be a piece of his personal philosophy - and we quote:-

"I like to break people. When you've broken them and sucked the last bit of life out of them... you've got to make sure that everything she held dear to her is crushed. So she's there in a perfectly decent state looking around at destruction. When people say I'm evil, yeah, I am, but it depends on which side you push me... The best thing to do is push people. Never kill people, yeah - push people to the point of despair where they do it to themselves. There's no blood on your hands then."

Of course, words such as these need to be seen in context. There can hardly be one of us who can claim that never, in a moment of personal stress brought on by some unhappy private experience, has he uttered things that he would have regretted and repudiated later. To use a ghastly modish expression, we should not be too 'judgemental' with the young Mr. Collett for thinking aloud things that some of us in similar circumstances could have thought ourselves.

However, this is not the point. The point is that these words were spoken by an appointed representative of the British National Party to a TV reporter who he should have been aware would be hostile and out to trap him. The result was cringing embarrassment to great numbers of loyal party supporters who desperately want the BNP to be taken seriously as an adult and mature political movement.

RED, WHITE & BLUE


On to the RWB, where Collett was one of a large crowd of the party faithful assembled in a pleasant country setting for enjoyment, comradeship and a bit of politics thrown in. No doubt the vast majority of these were folk who would only do the BNP proud if presented in public as typical examples of its membership. But, inevitably, there could be

A PUBLIC RELATIONS DISASTER

(Contd. from prev. page)

found amongst them a few of whom the opposite was true. And of course, Mr. Modell and his TV team knew exactly which category to zoom in upon and which not. Teeshirts carrying the number 88 (Heil Hitler) and swastika tattoos were, needless to

directly contrary to everything Mr. Griffin had been claiming in *Identity* last June but that, as a general picture, the party was made to look foolish, idiotic, juvenile and pathetic. The whole affair was a public relations fiasco which looked all the more ludicrous when seen against the boast that the BNP under its present management has been improving its image and making itself more



GIVING DIRECTIONS
Nick Griffin in picture here explaining a point to Mark Collett at the RWB. Sadly, there was little or no direction given in the making of the TV programme.

say, highlighted. Mr. Collett appeared on the platform in what looked like the same attire as in the street in Leeds – perhaps harmless enough at an informal social gathering but hopelessly inappropriate for a political occasion when TV cameras were present.

FINAL FARCE

The ultimate dénouement came in the final scene in the programme, which was pure farce. The interviewer, who chose this moment to reveal himself as Jewish, questioned Collett about statements he had made during the filming which he had taken to be off the record but which in fact were not so. In particular, he questioned him about statements concerning Germany and Hitler. At first, Collett denied ever saying such things. Then when confronted with the evidence – which established that, quite apart from engaging in some very ‘hard talk’, he had been less than truthful to the interviewer – the Young BNP leader completely ‘lost it’ and virtually broke down in front of the cameras. With that parting shot the programme ended.

It was difficult at this point not to feel sorry for Mark. He clearly had been ‘set up’ by a totally unscrupulous TV hound and taken to the cleaners. At that age, such a humiliation could have befallen many of us. The key point is that he should never have been put in such a position in the first place.

To relate the whole catalogue of gut-wrenching statements and visual images that occurred in the programme and were highlighted in the accompanying magazine article would involve extending this commentary to inordinate length, and to little purpose because most readers probably saw the programme or read the article or did both. The upshot of this twin anti-BNP smear offensive was not only that the party was linked with talk and images that ran

‘acceptable’.

Doubtless, there are sections of the British public which will not be put off by either the visual shots or verbal utterances that came through in the TV film: people reared in tough, working class neighbourhoods stretched to breaking point by the consequences of immigration and enforced multi-racialism. From many of such people one can visualise reactions, if not of enthusiastic approval, then at least of sympathy.

But these people do not represent Britain as a whole, only a part of it. There are other sections of the public which will look with contemptuous disdain at the same images and view the British National Party as a collection of clueless prats who, albeit that they may harbour some sound political sentiments, could never be taken seriously as a political force which aspires one day to govern the country.

THE BLAME

So who is to blame? Should the hapless Mr. Collett be made the whipping boy for this shambles? It is quite possible that he will be, but that would be a rank injustice. Ultimate responsibility for what happened must lie with the *party leadership*, which authorised the project and then gave Modell virtual *carte blanche* to film and interview as he chose, with no apparent supervision.

In a preview of the TV programme which was printed in a party members’ bulletin just before its showing it was stated that the decision to co-operate with the makers was made because “if we don’t they will go under cover and we’ll have no control over them at all.” With that decision and the reasons given for it we generally agree. But in the event what control was there? None at all, as far as could be seen.

The bulletin continued by saying:-

“Furthermore, if a bit of carelessness, youthful enthusiasm or the appearance of one or two supporters gets used against us, perhaps the resulting discomfort will teach more of our own people the need for care, moderate common sense and responsibility in dress, appearance and behaviour... so watch the programme, see the things the media liberal-fascists (*sic*) try to use against us – and avoid them like the plague!”

Put into plain English, this passage boils down to the following: “This, folks, is going to be a lesson on how *not* to do it!” Just like that! This simply is not good enough. It would seem as if the party leadership had no responsibility whatever for the affair, putting all the unfavourable talk and images down just to diabolical media manipulators and the youthful immaturity of their victim. An outsize exhibition of *mea non culpa!*

And of this more was to follow. Just after the programme had been shown, a statement was made on the party’s website saying that Mark Collett had been sacked as YBNP leader and that he would be facing a disciplinary tribunal set up to enquire into his sins. Only Collett? So he, and he alone, is to blame for this debacle! We should remember that Mark Collett was appointed as head of the party’s youth organisation by no less a person than *Nick Griffin*, and that he was authorised by Mr. Griffin to be filmed and interviewed on the programme – presumably with the confidence that he was capable of discharging this assignment to the credit of the party, a confidence that clearly was hopelessly misplaced.

NOTHING BUT PRAISE

And that is not all. Mr. Griffin’s evident faith in the young Mr. Collett was very convincingly demonstrated when he (Griffin) spoke on the programme thus:-

“Mark is one of nature’s live wires. He throws out more ideas than we can cope with at any one time – but as we’re growing, so more of his ideas we can use. Anyone with conviction to a cause attracts people who are like-minded. Mark’s one of the best.

“Some people are like a comet; they’re very visible for a year or so. Other people are still here in 20 years’ time. I’ve got a hunch that Mark will still be here in 20 years’ time. He’s got the potential to be one of the very top. I suppose, 10 or 15 years down the line, with luck, I can retire and he can take over.” (our emphasis)

Would it be right, then, for the young Mr. Collett to be made the sacrificial lamb for the catastrophic own-goals shown on this TV programme when his party leader had such confidence in him as demonstrated by these words?

But the announcement on the website said more. Far from acknowledging the responsibility of the party leadership for the wretched business, it stated that the discip-

linary measures taken against Collett showed how serious the party was about improving its public image, that it really *was* changing! Thus by a piece of verbal juggling what was a PR catastrophe for the BNP was turned into a positive commendation, a justification even! This kind of *chutzpah* is quite staggering – except to those of us familiar with the source from which it comes.

We know, of course, that it is easy to criticise, and that errors can occur when dealing with slippery customers like David Modell and his Channel Four TV team. Not all TV programmes in previous days of the BNP worked out to our total satisfaction; some errors were made in the process of our learning how to handle these people; and some unfortunate statements and images did occasionally creep into the broadcast films.

But nothing anything like as bad ever occurred as was the case with the *Dispatches* programme shown on November 4th – for which there was much less excuse in the light of long experience, and which appeared all the more ridiculous when seen against the present party leadership's repeated claim that it has been improving the BNP's image in the eyes of the British public.

WHAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE

What then should have been done? This is what should have been done. The party, having made the correct decision to collaborate with the TV people in the making of the programme, should have made sure to monitor and supervise their operations closely right from the start. No filming or interviewing should have been permitted except when senior party personnel – preferably Mr. Griffin himself – were present to keep a watching eye over all proceedings. Mark Collett should have been very carefully briefed concerning exactly what to say and, more to the point, what *not* to say. He should

have been warned *never* to say anything 'off the record' lest in fact it be 'on the record' – if Mr. Griffin was to be consistent with his stipulations about 'hard talk' written in *Identity* back in June. The informal scenes of Collett taken in his lodgings should never have been allowed. When he was filmed or photographed it should have been ensured that he was smartly dressed. The shot in front of the mosque which appeared on the front page of the *Sunday Times Magazine* should never have been permitted with the subject attired as he was.

To complain about the duplicity of TV interviewers and producers is a feeble piece of self-whitewash. Welcome to the real world! These people are the *enemy*, and should be expected to act like a hostile adversary in a *war* whose purpose is to destroy us. No dirty trick should be put past them, least of all the trick of pretending that one's conversation is not being recorded when in fact it is. Does the BNP leadership imagine that we are dealing with people inspired by British standards of fair play? If so, it is time, politically speaking, for it to go back to school.

Perhaps Mr. Griffin has been hypnotised by his own words, for in the September issue of *Identity*, following this year's RWB, we find him saying:-

"The media response... has been unprecedented in its fairness and impartiality. *The Guardian's* Helen Carter wrote a report which could have been published in this magazine, while Michael Prestage, writing in *The Independent on Sunday*, was even more forthright in emphasising how successfully the BNP has moved away from the traditional 'far-right' stereotypes that until recently always hindered the progress of nationalist politics in Britain... this sort of coverage has not materialised out of thin air. It has been nurtured and encouraged by a disciplined adherence to a political normalisation strategy that the BNP leadership has been

implementing since being elected into office nearly three years ago...

"Of course, we must be under no illusions that large sections of the media will still try to lie about us and distort the truth given the opportunity. But the point is that we are now making it much more difficult for them to do so. Our transformation has reduced both their inclination and possibility to smear us..."

As in the BBC *Panorama* programme in November last year and in *Dispatches* last month, no doubt!

As a broader question of policy, it is time for the BNP to consider carefully whether the activities of its youth organisation should be suspended until such time as there is available a leading officer able to supervise it who can be relied on to ensure prudent and responsible presentation of the kind that was lamentably lacking in this recent documentary. Such a person should preferably be young-ish but of an age of maturity not apparent in this unhappy shambles.

It is too late to reverse the damage done by this disastrous TV programme. All that can now usefully be done is learn the lessons it has taught us. This article should have made clear what those lessons are. Will we take them to heart and do what is necessary to ensure that such appalling cock-ups do not occur again?

Doubtless, for the observations made in this article this magazine and its editor will be condemned by the BNP leadership circle for 'sniping', for stirring things up, for trying to demoralise the BNP membership (though what could have demoralised them more than the *Dispatches* programme it is difficult to imagine). We are ready for these brickbats and are not intimidated by them. From our readers' point of view, far better than dwelling on such questions, the proper course would be to study carefully what has been said in this article and consider whether or not we are right.

the Home Office that arrested criminals should be given a chance to apologise to their victims before being prosecuted. The idea is that if they take up the offer there should be no prosecution. Only if they then offend again should they be charged and punished.

* * *

RECENTLY approved grants of lottery money: £7,500 to the Northern Ireland Filipino Association to support the Northern Ireland Filipino community and its touring dance troupe; £1 million to Akina Mama Wa Afrika to promote African women's groups in Uganda, Nigeria and Ethiopia and their counterparts in Britain; £4,570 to the Central Rainbow Club to finance a newsletter for deaf homosexuals in the Birmingham area and a specialist deaf cabaret club for deaf lesbians and gays.

* * *

MEANWHILE the BBC will be spending £1 million of licence-payers' money on a new Asian soap, which it hopes will become *The Archers* of the Asian community.

MADHOUSE BRITAIN

A COURT of appeal has found in favour of a male-to-female transsexual after he/she was refused a job with the West Yorkshire police. The force claimed he/she would be unable to conduct body searches.

* * *

WHILE still in Yorkshire, Barnsley Metropolitan Council is urgently seeking the services of a Homophobic Harassment Officer (working for the Racial Harassment Strategy Co-ordinator). The pay is £10,960 a year for an 18-hour week, equivalent to just under £22,000 for a full week – more than firemen's pay before the recent strike.

* * *

AND... South Yorkshire Ambulance Service has aroused protest among crew members on account of a scheme to replace royal markings on their uniforms with NHS badges.

An ambulance spokeswoman said that: "We

are funded by the NHS and feel it is a far better way forward to have that recognised. There are also issues about the history the crown carries in terms of military associations for non-white and non-Christian people."

* * *

CEAL FLOYER was the winner of a prize of £30,000 last month from the Paul Hamlyn Foundation as part of the Foundation's policy of awards to encourage artists' development.

The winning entry? A black plastic bin bag filled with air. Apparently, when the artist put it on display in an exhibition in Coventry it had to be labelled every evening to stop cleaners dumping it with other refuse.

* * *

PETER NEYROUD, chief constable of the Thames Valley force, is the head of a group of senior police officers who have recommended to

TIME TO STOP PLAYING AT BEING 'ANTI- NAZIS'!

**Using our
enemies'
arguments
and lies,
says
JEFFREY
TURNER,
wins no
converts
and only
serves to
mislead and
confuse**

SURPRISE NEWS! The Anti-Nazi League has a rival (or should we say an ally?). And an even bigger surprise – it's on our side! The rival (ally) is a group of nationalist writers who have decided there is something clever in regularly attacking Hitler, National Socialism and Fascism as a means of persuading the public that modern British Nationalism has absolutely nothing in common with them. So over-sensitive and neurotic have they become about 'nazi' smears from the left and the media that they feel a desperate urge constantly to be engaging in this practice. In fact, the practice is silly and dishonest and impresses nobody. The left and the media will never be persuaded, and the bulk of the ordinary British public regard such antics as merely an irrelevant diversion.

Of course, it is perfectly understandable that those of us campaigning in modern times for the idea of the nation-state and national identity, and against the forces of globalism and multi-racialism, should not wish to muddy the waters of the debate by tarring ourselves with the imagery of Hitler's Reich. Such tarring will, needless to say, be attempted by our enemies as a substitute for honest argument, but we should not join in their game. We should debate the issues – as they refuse to do – and steer clear of the practice of using stupid labels.

Let us start from a point on which we can all agree. The British national resurgence we work for should draw essentially on home-grown inspiration, and in this regard no better handbook exists than *Standardbearers*, a collection of essays about past British leaders and writers who in some way have held a torch for our ideas. We should adopt the best of what they have to offer as examples, and not tie ourselves in association with foreign politicians or movements, whether or not some of us may privately admire them.

The truth our weapon

But this is many miles away from joining in the left-wing and media demonisation of such people and employing their well-worn myths and lies concerning them. We, after all, have everything to gain by an open and frank examination of the facts of the present and recent-past world; they, by contrast, have everything to lose by such an examination. We have nothing to hide; they have everything to hide. Our weapon is truth; their weapon is untruth. This is not just a matter of what is right; it is also a matter of what best serves our cause in the very practical sense. In the game of lying, they are cleverer and better equipped than we are and will always beat us. When it comes to truth, we have a weapon which, intelligently used, is in the long run invincible.

More, then, is the pity that some nation-

alists deem it smart to operate according to the rules of our opponents by accepting their own version of what is 'nazi' and 'fascist' – the Hollywood version, essentially – and playing childish games attempting to show how far we are removed from such things. Here is a typical case which appeared recently in a nationalist publication:-

"It's nearly sixty years since the demise of the totalitarian Nazi regime in Germany. Yet in Britain today New Labour and the Unions are denying the right of freedom of speech to those they don't agree with, in the best traditions of the Brownshirts."

"Adolf Hitler would have been proud of them. People like Labour MP Ian Gibson and Labour councillor Eamonn Burgess, who incited a mob to march through the streets of Norwich to demonstrate outside a shop displaying political leaflets that they didn't agree with and wanted removed.

"Union bosses Colin Gledhill and Peter Jones of NATFHE for their efforts in trying to get part-time student Carol Hughes expelled from college for her political beliefs. In 2002 Colin Gledhill denounced Carol as having 'a viewpoint inflammatory to the multi-cultural society'. In 1933 people like Gledhill were also denouncing students for 'a viewpoint inflammatory to the Third Reich'."

Now these modern lefties may indeed have something in common with Germany's Nazis in as much as they do not react in a kindly way to the opinions of those with whom they disagree. But if so, that is just about all they have in common with them. The Nazis were *nationalists*. They believed in the nation-state. They stood strongly for the preservation of their people's national identity. They passionately opposed multi-racialism and racial mixing.

True enough, they sought to force their opinions on others in an intolerant way rather than by arguing and debating with them, and no serious person in the present nationalist movement in Britain advocates such methods for our country and time. But here is a difference that is essentially over *method*, and not over principle. We too are nationalists. We believe in the nation-state. We stand for the preservation of our people's national identity. We are passionately opposed to multi-racialism and racial mixing. But we seek to defend our beliefs and oppose contrary beliefs by the democratic process: by peaceful argument, not by persecution and suppression of those who disagree with us.

Communism the true antithesis

However, if we want to make comparisons between the likes of Gibson and Burgess, Gledhill and Jones, and totalitarians of another country and era, a far more apt one is that which applies to *Communism* and *Soviet Russia*. There, not only were

persecution and suppression carried out against dissenters – as these modern leftists favour doing – but the actual beliefs in whose name the persecution and suppression were practised were essentially the same. The Communists wanted a global society, a ‘world order’. They were against the preservation of national identity. They favoured multi-racialism and racial mixing.

True, in the case of Russia itself these beliefs were in some respects honoured more in the breach than in the observance; in practice Russia under Communism often acted in a nationalistic way while promoting anti-nationalism in other nations. But ‘one-world’ internationalism nevertheless remained the ruling state ideology. Concentration camps teemed with prisoners whose crime was to be nationalist and defend their national identities. The concentration camps of Hitler’s Germany contained only people who were *against* the nationalism of the ruling régime.

Reference has been made in the quoted passages to “the traditions of the Brownshirts.” Let us be clear who the Brownshirts were and what was their main function. They were better known in the Germany of their times as the *Sturmabteilung* (SA). This was a group formed primarily for the purpose of keeping order at Nazi meetings against the determined efforts of the Communists to smash them, and indeed without the SA in those days no such meetings would have been possible. In other words, the SA was created to perform essentially a *defensive* role. Later, some cases did occur of SA men going on to the offensive and attacking the meetings of the Communists – largely in retaliation and to discourage Communist violence against the nationalists. However, such cases were far fewer in number, and the pitched battles in Germany that accompanied Hitler’s rise to power were overwhelmingly battles in which the Communists were the aggressors and the Nazis the targets of aggression. The same pattern could be seen all over Europe, including Britain, where Fascist meetings were attacked by red mobs and Fascist defence squads forced to use vigorous methods to prevent their being broken up. In the violent clashes between the so-called ‘far left’ and ‘far right’, both in those and much more recent times, in almost all cases it has been the left, not the right, which has initiated the violence.

Which was more totalitarian?

National Socialism and Fascism most certainly were to a degree totalitarian – in as much as the régimes they created did not allow for the existence of left-wing political parties nor for the giving of platforms in the media for the expression of left-wing views.

But if National Socialism and Fascism were totalitarian, Soviet Communism was infinitely more so – being far more brutal

and wide-ranging in the suppression of people and opinions differing even moderately from the official ‘party line’. Stalin’s gulags were filled with occupants faithful to communist ideology but who had dared to dissent over some small detail of government policy. And Stalinist orthodoxy created a tight ideological straightjacket enforced by brainwashing techniques far more sinister and sophisticated, and infin-



STALIN

Left-wing totalitarians have far more in common with him than with Hitler.

ately more remote from the truth, than any dreamed of under Hitler or Mussolini.

Indeed, Hitler in the war was known to favour generals who would disagree and argue with him much more than he did those who were subservient and never criticised his strategic and tactical ideas. Stalin, in contrast, purged many of his best military leaders in the 1930s, not because they were incompetent but because they were thought to be ‘ideologically unsound’. Later he paid a bitter price for this.

So why do some people calling themselves nationalists prefer to cite ‘Nazis’, rather than Communists, as examples of ideological intolerance? It is abundantly clear that Labour lefties and union militants are far closer to the communist way of thinking than to that of Hitler. So why not name them for what they are – or at least, nearly are – rather for what they obviously are not?

Let’s look at some further nonsense in the same article:

“Mick Rix of ASLEF (*the militant railwaymen’s leader*) would certainly be at home in pre-war Germany. His bullyboy tactics of denying membership of the union to people with inconvenient views would have drawn thunderous applause and a hail of stiff right arms at any Nazi rally...”

This is inane rubbish. A person like Mick Rix, far from being at home in pre-war Germany, would have found himself spending a bracing stretch there in a *konzentrationslager*, surrounded by barbed wire and overlooked by guards in towers manning machine guns – as would others found disrupting vital industries and public

services. The one type of occasion on which he and his ilk might have been greeted with thunderous applause would be at a rally in Moscow in the 1940s or 1950s, where they would have encountered a hail of salutes (clenched-fist ones, that is) for their work in advancing the cause of world revolution by sabotaging the British bourgeois state.

In another piece of claptrap we find a nationalist writer telling us that ‘the enemy’ is Hitler. One method by which he arrives at this piece of profundity is to say that it was the Führer’s fault that Stalin was able to seize half of Europe in 1945. That is on a par with saying that when a gang of left-wing desperadoes run riot in one of our cities and cause terrible injuries to police and bystanders it is the police’s fault for trying to stop them in their rampage and thus causing people to get hurt! The fact is that without a powerfully armed Germany Stalin’s planned invasion westwards (which the same writer admits was the Soviet dictator’s intention) would have occurred anyway and would have met with far less resistance. This is quite aside from the fact that without Hitler Communism would have triumphed in Germany, without assistance from the Red Army, long previously.

Referenda

The same writer went on to say that...

“Hitler demanded – and got – the abolition of democracy and creation of a totalitarian state in which the wishes of the ordinary people could only be represented in some mystical, telepathic communication between the ruler and his subjects. The British National Party is working for a massive extension in direct democracy through citizens’ initiative referenda at local and national level...”

This fails to take into account that Hitler too used referenda – of course not on the scale being advocated by the writer for Britain – but on some major questions of national importance. Two examples were the plebiscite held among the Rhinelanders to decide whether they wished to be part of France or Germany, and the one following the *Anschluss* with Austria, seeking endorsement by the people concerned of incorporation into the German Reich. These consultations of popular opinion may well have fallen short of the democratic ideal in a number of respects, but they went a good deal further than was ever attempted in the Soviet Union, where no referenda of any kind were ever even contemplated. So why does this writer not contrast his referenda proposals with the complete absence of any kind of referenda under Soviet Communism rather than with the limited referenda used by Hitler?

The writer goes on to say of the Nazis that they...

Contd. overleaf

TIME TO STOP PLAYING AT BEING 'ANTI-NAZIS'! (Contd. from prev. page)

"... created a corporate state, a mixture of big capitalism and state control. British nationalists follow the Distributist tradition established by home-grown thinkers like G.K. Chesterton and Hilaire Belloc, and we favour small, privately owned businesses, workers' co-operatives and other such mechanisms which spread the benefits and responsibility of private property to the largest portion of the population possible."

This statement is itself interesting because it amounts to a neat generalisation concerning the British Nationalist position on economic matters which indeed is only endorsed by a particular faction among nationalist thinkers in this country, and probably a minority one at that. It seems to constitute essentially this particular writer's views on economic organisation, along with those of a few of his associates who adhere to Distributist ideas, rather than a consensus of nationalists in Britain as a whole.

Flawed argument

In fact, the Distributist position as announced in the article in question is in many ways badly flawed. The complexity of economic life in the real world simply does not permit a sweeping break-up of businesses into small units. In certain areas of economic activity that might work, yes. But in capital-intensive projects where survival is only possible by way of operation in very large units of production it is a recipe for chaos and bankruptcy. Does the writer seriously imagine that motor-manufacturing companies in Britain organised on a cottage-industry scale are going to compete on world markets with firms like Ford, Volkswagen and Nissan? If this is not what he has in mind, and if he only intends to apply Distributist theories to limited sectors of the economy, he should say so.

As for workers' co-operatives and the spreading of benefits and responsibility, what is presumably meant here is that the workforce own companies jointly with proprietors and shareholders and share both decision-making and profits. Supposing they are not competent to do so and supposing they don't *want* to do so? Is this writer actually telling us that people who have invested vast amounts of capital and expertise in a successful concern, and by its success have proved they know how to run it, should be forced to turn the concern over to a 'democratic' ruling body in which the sweeper of the factory floor has a voice in decisions just as the managing director does? And is he presuming that the workers of the company *prefer* to have a share in profits (which must, in fairness, incorporate a share in losses) rather than the security of

an assured wage?

The truth is that workers' co-operatives have something to commend them in particular circumstances, for instance in a case where a firm has gone bankrupt and its employees invest their savings in an attempt to keep it alive rather than opt for the dole. In that event, the best of luck to them! But as a formula for the organising of the entire business sector of the nation it amounts to economic gobbledegook.

We have digressed here slightly from the theme of 'anti-nazism' but the matter arose because of the effort, in the article in question, to underline differences between BNP policies and those of National Socialist Germany. In this particular case, the contrast is one that which does not even stand to the credit of the BNP – thus compounding the idiocy of the exercise.

Nonsense on agriculture

More idiocy – plus falsehood – appear where the same writer gets too clever by half in his description of Nazi agricultural policy. Here he says:-

"Hitler's state largely turned its back on the ideal of the independent family-run mixed farm, basing food production on the extensive, chemical-based agriculture which has created an environmental and health disaster throughout the West. The BNP champions the recreation of the family farm as the cornerstone of organic British agriculture."

This portrayal of farming policies in National Socialist Germany is nonsense: what the writer says is grossly misleading. In fact, in Germany during 1933-45 the family-owned smallholding farm was regarded as the rock of the system. With a far higher premium placed on public health than in contemporary Britain, natural fertilisers were the rule. Hardly anyone used chemical fertilisers, though in the later stages of the war, when food shortages became acute, this did increase to a moderate extent and out of necessity.

So why are these people so keen to highlight the policy differences with Hitler's Germany rather than stress the much stronger differences between the BNP and Soviet Communism? They will no doubt immediately reply that the enemy media do not smear our party as 'communist' as they smear it as 'nazi', that there is no need to show that we are not communist because hardly anybody in the population seriously imagines that we are.

That is a fair point, and there are situations where BNP representatives do need to illustrate the differences between the party's policies and those of Nazi Germany. These situations arise mostly when media interviewers attempt to put us on the spot with questions which imply the policies are the same. Then a few examples to emphasise the differences are in order; on

the other hand, when such questions are not in any way on the agenda there is no reason whatever for them to be raised.

Let's stick to the truth

But this brings us back to the issue that arose early in this article: that of whether we stick by our own principles and tell the truth or play the game of the enemy and tell lies.

There are quite sufficient ways in which we can point out the differences between modern British Nationalism and the National Socialism of Germany under Hitler, and the main way is to focus on our own democratic and peaceful methods of conducting our arguments; on our lack of any intention to set up a totalitarian one-party state under which those who oppose us would be suppressed and denied their freedoms. This, indeed, is the main point worth emphasising when such a question arises, and to make it we do not have to resort to lies or historical distortion. In Hitler's Germany, the National Socialist German Workers' Party was the only party allowed to function; others were forbidden by law. Not even the most doctrinaire Nazi sympathiser would attempt to deny this, though he may argue that such a rule was right and necessary in the circumstances.

But there is no need whatever for us to go beyond this and parrot the lies about Hitler's Germany that have become ingrained in Hollywood fantasy and are par for the course among British politicians, journalists and TV film-makers. As said earlier, truth is our weapon. It is our greatest weapon. And it is in the long run invincible.

This apart, if the BNP is to prevail, we must raise the quality and seriousness of debate in this country, so that great questions can be discussed in an adult atmosphere and manner. Descending to the inane arguments of the 'anti-nazi industry' is no way to do this. Once taken, this path leads to stories of soap made from human fat, of babies' heads being bashed against walls, of Hitler biting carpets and planning to invade the USA. People who fall for this kind of hogwash are a total waste of time trying to persuade. They are the moron constituency and are best ignored.

Every time, in an attempt at ingratiation with people like this, we parrot the propaganda of our enemies, we grant those enemies just another little victory, and we discard the one piece of our armament that they hate and fear the most.

Read Spearhead on the Internet!

You can obtain the best articles and news items from Spearhead by visiting the Spearhead website at:

www.spearhead-uk.com

A FEW WEEKS AGO I looked around a little limestone church. In Britain today of course it's often very difficult to gain access to church buildings. All this is simply the result of growing theft and vandalism, part and parcel of the collapsing society inflicted on us by the old parties. In St. Matthew's, Brixton, for instance – the place where John Major, our minor former PM, got married – the entire congregation are locked in during services!

But things are still thankfully a little different in the upland rural areas of the Yorkshire Dales, which is where this particular church was situated. Inside, my attention was drawn to an unusual war memorial: a two-foot-square frame containing almost 30 photographs of the villagers who had gone off to war during 1914-18 and never returned. This was a truly horrific number of fatalities to come from what was little more than a half-mile hamlet along a B road in the countryside.

At this juncture, we may wonder what they fought for, now that the Land of Hope and Glory is becoming the land of dope and asylum seekers. This is not to mention the rather sickening sight of the serried rows of renegades in Parliament, each one displaying a lapel poppy, thoughtfully provided by some PR assistant.

The brave men commemorated in the country church can hardly have realised that the British would face the prospect of becoming second-class citizens in their own country, a dismal fate to be followed in the long run, in all likelihood, by extinction. It's the great global economy again – see the Rothschild-influenced *Economist* which calls for yet more immigration to reduce labour costs still further, hence increasing profits.

Though any 'scared-rabbit' demographer (off the record, you understand) could confirm the population figures and extrapolations, such figures will never have any impact on the masses. Presumably the reason for this is that, in addition to being mostly stupid, complacent or corrupt, the ruling majority and moneyed élite of the West remains mostly 'white' – if just by basic taxonomical classification.

So when we want to consider the nature of the

The great betrayal

**Just what did the war dead fight for? asks
IAN BUCKLEY**

great betrayal that has been inflicted on us, and get the message over to the people, other topics may have more immediate impact on potential voters.

Economic realities

At this moment, no less than 40 per cent of households in the UK are in receipt of means-tested benefits. Not exactly a good advertisement for the prosperous go-ahead economy of New Labour, is it? The propaganda says that the economy is booming and unemployment low. Surely though, unemployment has not *really* dropped significantly, but has only been disguised by various unscrupulous statistical dodges such as cosmetic make-work schemes: hence the astronomical welfare bill.

It gets worse. 83 per cent of families with children get the working families' tax credit, a measure designed to bump up the income of the working poor by letting the taxpayer subsidise low-paid employment. All of the TV commentators and broadsheets get excited about the 'pensions crisis', but what has caused this crisis except the disappearance of steady, decently paid

employment, and indeed the entire concept of a career? How can adequate pensions be provided for workers who have to flit from one six-month contract to another, or for those who toil in Macdonalds flipping burgers part-time?

It is all very well to talk of a 'dependency culture', but such as Mrs. Thatcher brought about this lamentable situation by replacing the real economy with an unstable system based on part-time service work and house-price inflation. Politicians who prate on about 'welfare reform' are not part of the solution, but a major part of the problem.

MPs doing themselves OK

The Houses of Parliament strike me as a suitable case crying out for 'welfare reform'. There the parliamentarians have awarded themselves shorter hours, longer holidays, better pensions and more pay. If payment was by results, what would they deserve to get? Ten pounds a week, twenty pounds a week? Or is that too generous?


Likewise, the dream of home ownership promoted by Mrs. Thatcher has turned into a nightmare. Was the whole policy designed out of altruism, to promote independence, or was there a more sinister agenda? After all, increased house ownership has provided a means to increase personal debt to unprecedented levels.

Average household debt in the UK is higher than annual average household disposable income, a figure that is both ludicrous and frightening. As regular readers will know, the concept of the microcosm and the macrocosm interests me. In this case, the microcosm of people trapped by unrepayable debt corresponds with the macrocosm of countries spending billions on wars only to end up trapped by extra-territorial financiers. In both cases the result is the same: your freedom of action is lost. Nor is this the end of the story.

With the retreat of the welfare state, more and more unfortunate Britons will be compelled to draw on personal assets, chiefly in the form of property. Fools may boast of how much their house is worth, but how many of these houses will eventually be lost to the means-tested benefit system as they're claimed to pay for the cost of long-term care?

Thatcher and her effeminate twin Blair would seem to have the 'Midas Touch' in reverse; everything they touch turns to dirt. Jung could have explained to us in detail about the dangers posed by the masculinised woman and the feminised man, but the rest of us can understand that danger instinctively, if in much simpler terms.

The case is equally dismal with pensions, with the state provision set to wither on the vine. As has been highlighted recently, Chancellor Brown seems to have an unfortunate propensity for raiding pensions, an action which might be termed 'theft' when lesser mortals behave in similar fashion. Four million married women now have no right to a basic state pension, in spite of having collectively made enormous payments to the Treasury. Stricken insurers like Equitable Life show the ominous future for those who thought they'd made sensible provision for their old age, and now see it all melting away with the next big drop on the stock exchange. Fancy working till you're 79, stacking the shelves in a warehouse owned by some foreign chain? A great betrayal indeed!



SALUTING THOSE WHO WERE BETRAYED

Commando veterans of the Second World War remember their dead comrades at the Commando memorial in the Scottish Highlands last month

One in seven a government agent!

REPORT
FROM GERMANY

ON OCTOBER 8th, a hearing took place before Germany's Supreme Court, the *Bundesverfassungsgericht* (BVG), to clarify whether to continue the government's proceedings to ban the right-wing extremist NPD (National Democratic Party of Germany). This legal action had ground to a halt following revelations that the German state had massively infiltrated the NPD.

When the government initiated its court action two years ago, virtually every German politician joined the chorus of those demanding a ban on the NPD. Today a deafening silence prevails. Initially, none of the three plaintiffs – *Bundestag* (the parliament), *Bundesrat* (the upper house) and *Bundesregierung* (the federal government) – wanted to send a prominent representative to the hearing. Barely a week before the hearing began, Interior Minister Otto Schily (Social Democratic Party) announced he would appear.

The BVG hearing became necessary after it emerged that a number of high-ranking NPD members due to give testimony in the court proceedings had worked as undercover agents for the secret service. When the court found this out by accident, it suspended proceedings last January. The October 8th hearing was scheduled to clarify the extent to which the secret service influenced the activities of the NPD, and whether they had provided the court with tainted evidence. No decision regarding whether and how the proceedings are to be continued is expected for at least several weeks.

Call for secret trial

Initially, the state refused to provide the Supreme Court with a complete list of the undercover agents inside the NPD. Finally, at the end of July, the parliament, the upper house and the federal government agreed to supply the court with such a list. At the same time, they demanded that the proceedings be conducted '*in camera*' to prevent the public from learning the undercover agents' identities.

This would mean that the accused – the NPD – would be denied any possibility of disproving the accusations made against it, or even acquiring detailed information about the charges. Both the German parliament and the government have openly demanded a secret, closed-door trial to ban a political party.

The government's court papers indicate that out of 200 leading NPD functionaries,

30 were working as undercover agents. This means that one in seven leading figures in the party is on the secret service pay roll!

Representatives of the secret services explained to the court that the agency tried to place one to three undercover agents in every NPD executive body. In response, NPD chairman Udo Voigt questioned whether the party's national executive committee had also been infiltrated. If this were the case, then the secret service would also be informed about the party's legal strategy, which would place an addi-

MARIUS HEUSER reveals more details of state infiltration of nationalist groups

ional question mark over the legality of the proceedings.

The government claimed it was necessary to conceal the identities of the undercover agents, both to protect them from acts of revenge by right-wingers, and to assure the continued functioning of the secret service itself. "If we unmasked the undercover agents, we could close down the secret service," claimed Dieter Wiefel-

"Which statements should we exclude?" asked Judge Joachim Jentsch. Schily could only refer to the six agents so far unmasked.

Skinheads Sächsische Schweiz

The case against the NPD is not the only legal action against a right-wing extremist organisation that is threatening to unravel because of substantial secret service infiltration. A similar situation exists in the regional court in Dresden. There, at the end of August, the trial began against members of the banned neo-Nazi organisation 'Skinheads Sächsische Schweiz' (SSS), which is charged with criminal conspiracy, incitement to racial hatred, serious breach of the peace and grievous bodily harm. The SSS is a brutal extreme right-wing group, with the declared aim of 'cleansing' the *Sächsische Schweiz* (Saxon Switzerland, an area south east of Dresden) of foreigners, drug addicts and those of other political persuasions.

This trial ground to a halt, when the defence called for clarification concerning the role of the Saxony state security services in the founding of the SSS. Chief judge Tom Maciejewski thereupon demanded the state security services provide a list of the agents within the SSS. Although continuing the trial against the seven neo-Nazis was dependent upon the



sputz (Social Democratic Party) after publication of court documents.

Schily and Bavarian Interior Minister Gunther Beckstein (Christian Social Union) tried to prove that the secret service and its undercover agents had not exerted any influence on the NPD's policies and activities. On this issue they sought to evade the judges' potentially explosive questions. The character of the NPD would not change if one excluded the statements of the undercover agents, Schily claimed.

government complying with this demand, Saxony Interior Minister Horst Rasch (Christian Democratic Union), like his counterpart in Berlin, refused to name the informants. Even if the trial is continued, its result is now far from certain due to this refusal.

The argument used by the government in refusing to name those who infiltrated the neo-Nazis in Saxony is the same used in the proceedings against the NPD: the undercover agents would be "substantially

revealed. Minister Rasch argued that the state had a greater duty to protect the security and welfare of undercover agents. Furthermore, their exposure would endanger the central functions of the secret services.

Who are these people whom the state has an overriding duty to protect, and what are the "central functions" of the German secret services? These questions can be answered by examining earlier cases involving undercover agents.

In fact, convictions for bodily harm, incitement to racial hatred or even murder have never represented an obstacle for the secret service when hiring its personnel. Agents like NPD man Wolfgang Frenz or the neo-Nazi Tino Brandt have, on a number of occasions, indicated that they regard funds received from the secret service as donations for their organisations. In reality, the line dividing the organisations being spied upon and the secret service itself is barely detectable.

Money for Nazi music

A typical example of the real practices of the secret service has come to light following the latest unmasking of undercover agents in Berlin and Brandenburg.

Following a July 20 raid on the Nazi band 'White Aryan Rebels', Berlin police arrested their marketing manager, Toni Stadler from Cottbus. The band is part of the illegal neo-Nazi music scene where it enjoys cult status.

Among other things, the band calls in the lyrics of one song for the murder of Brandenburg's attorney general, German talk show host Alfred Biolek and the vice-president of the Central Council for Jews, Michel Friedmann. Shortly after his arrest, it emerged that Stadler had been a long-time undercover agent of the Brandenburg state secret services.

According to reports in the news-magazine *Focus*, Stadler was recruited as an agent in the spring of 2001 under dubious circumstances. Secret service officials had tailed the neo-Nazi Stadler, who does not possess a driving licence, and caught him at the wheel. Stadler was faced with the choice of being prosecuted or acting as an informer.

Although initially based on extortion, this collaboration flourished. The news weekly *Der Spiegel* reported telephone calls between Stadler and his secret service handler Manfred M, in which Stadler complained about constant observation by the Berlin police. M assured him that his boss (Brandenburg secret service chief Heiner Wegesin) would ensure that this surveillance stopped. Moreover, shortly before a police raid, M gave Stadler a new computer to prevent data over his trade in neo-Nazi CDs being found on his old computer.

Since then, Manfred M has faced an investigation on charges of criminal obstruction in his official capacity. Other reports assume that the financial stipends flowing to Stadler went directly into the production of Nazi music.

Brandenburg Interior Minister and CDU right-winger Joerg Schoenbohm reacted aggressively to this exposure. Stadler's arrest by the Berlin police had been "premature and unnecessary," they said.

The Brandenburg state secret service came under pressure in 2000 when it was learned that it had continued to employ an undercover agent who had been convicted five years earlier of attempting to murder a Nigerian. Berlin city government representatives and judicial representatives expressed the suspicion that Schoenbohm knew about this decision.

Toni Stadler is by no means small fry. According to the Berlin public prosecutor's office, he is one of Germany's biggest dealers in Nazi music. His textile business in Cottbus and Guben provides a cover for his trade in Nazi CDs. In court hearings he admitted that he had been involved in making the CD *Attack the Enemy* by the group Landser (mercenary).

Landser is part of the Nazi international skinhead union Hammerskins. In their songs, they call for Israel to be bombed, to hang 'niggers' and massacre members of the Bundestag. For two years, the Federal Prosecutor's Office has been investigating Landser for criminal conspiracy. During this time, Stadler, who obviously enjoys close contact with this band and their followers, was in the pay of the Brandenburg state secret services.

actually played a part in its decisions in the political field.

It will be noted that criminal records, including convictions for bodily harm and even attempted murder, were no barrier to people being recruited as agents by the government. This could very well be because their controllers judged that such people were all the more likely to be of questionable character and thus the more easy to manipulate by pressure, bribery and corruption. The case of Toni Stadler is illustrative: he was caught driving without a licence and induced to co-operate with the security services on threat of prosecution.

What is also instructive is that the state political police were only too willing to pump funds into Stadler's musical enterprises in

In the middle of August, *Der Spiegel* revealed that Mirko Hesse, German leader of the Hammerskins, was also an undercover agent, but was controlled by the federal secret service. At the end of last year, Hesse had been sentenced by the Dresden regional court to two-year's imprisonment for, among other things, incitement to racial hatred. He maintained his own music label, the HA record (HA: Hate Attack, Hitler Adolf), which he used to distribute Nazi CDs throughout Germany and in the USA. During a search of his house in summer 2001, police found 10,000 CDs, computers and various weapons.

No prosecutions

According to the police, Hesse personally organised production of the Landser discs and handled sales. "For years, the [secret] services obviously gathered the best information about the most dangerous radical right-wing bands – without launching criminal proceedings," *Der Spiegel* reports. The CDs were produced under the noses of the secret services, distributed throughout the right-wing milieu and most likely financed from taxpayers' money.

At the end of September, the *Lausitzer Rundschau* newspaper reported that the Berlin state secret services had also employed an informant in the neo-Nazi circles round Toni Stadler. He was active in the *Weisse Arische Bruderschaft* (White Aryan Brotherhood) and had provided information about Stadler.

These cases form merely part of the intricate web of connections between the German secret services and right-wing extremists. Such practices can hardly continue to be called slip-ups or scandals, but seem rather to be the rule. Instead of uncovering their agents, and providing clarity, the German parliament and government are striving to obtain a secret trial for the prohibition of the NPD. In order to cover up their own anti-democratic practices, they are sacrificing fundamental political rights. Regardless of how the Supreme Court finally rules, it has become clear that in the alleged fight against right-wing extremism, the state itself is moving ever further to the right.

order to keep him 'on board' as a collaborator and, presumably, help him extend his influence (and, by that token, also their own).

Only the very naïve imagine that agents in nationalist movements are recruited by the state security services only to report on illegal activities; a much more important role which they perform is that of influencing policy in directions of which the state approves. The world-wide nationalist backlash against globalism cannot be prevented; it will occur whatever governments do. What those governments contrive to achieve is that the backlash will be controlled and directed by themselves through their agents, so that it may thereby be neutralised. In this endeavour, even the use of taxpayers' money to finance nationalist activities is authorised if it will help the process.

SPEARHEAD COMMENT

The story revealed on these two pages should be carefully studied by all British Nationalists, for it reveals practices that are common not just in Germany but all over the world. The story was picked up on the Internet and the political leanings of the writer are unknown, though possibly they are towards the libertarian left.

Not only is there the startling revelation that as many as one in every seven of the top leaders of the nationalist NPD in Germany could be government agents but there is also the clear implication that these agents "influenced the activities" of the party. That is to say that they did far more than merely report on illegal doings (if any) by the party but

I READ with interest a recent article in *Spearhead* on the internet which a friend pointed out to me. He knew that as a fan of Tolkein and a long-time reader of *Candour* newsletter, I was bound to be interested in it. I refer of course to Stephen Goodson's article 'The Mythos of J.R.R. Tolkein'.

As is well known, and not disputed by anyone of intelligence, *The Lord of the Rings* was not only an attempt to create an English heroic tale, it was also a call to the 'little people' of England (i.e. the Hobbits in their Shires) to rise up against the tyrants (the Saurons and Sarumans).

Here, of course, we think of that breathtaking poem about the English written by the cousin of A.K. Chesterton, of which AKC used part at the beginning of his blockbuster *The New Unhappy Lords*. I refer to G.K. Chesterton's, *The Secret People*, which paints the English as that cultured, quiet, individualist people full of character, yet regimented in many of their ways and habits; people slow to anger, but by God when they get angry the authorities had better watch out!

As G.K. Chesterton wrote in the poem's last two lines:-

"But we are the people of England; and we have not spoken yet.

"Smile at us, pay us, pass us. But do not quite forget."

A salutary warning to those who sneer and snigger at the people of England!

But much more than merely a call to the English to rise from their slumber and face the outside world, *The Lord of the Rings* was also a call for pan-European solidarity against the perilous powers... those Europeans who (just like the Dwarves, Elves and Men) had spent so many years fighting each other needlessly, whilst Communism and the money-power rose.

We see the Germanic peoples such as the Riders of Rohan (as with the Men of Gondor and the Rangers of the North, all users of the Runes), the Welsh-Celtic peoples in the Elves (Tolkein admitted that the Elvish language he created was based on Welsh - the name Arwen alone portrays that quite clearly).

Recognising the enemy


As well as fighting the 'big enemy' of the day (clearly the dark, brooding beast in the East is an obvious figure for the Soviet régime and its chief), Tolkein was astute enough to realise that just as perilous an enemy - if not more so because of the guile, the underhandedness and the willingness to co-operate with the 'Shadow of the East' - were our own 'leaders'... our Sarumans - those who would turn our own Shires into industrial hellish Mills (one thinks of the 'Satanic Mills' so often described of yore in Northern England) and create wage slaves of us, the fun-loving, pipe-smoking, ale-swilling 'Hobbits'.

The Candour of Tolkein

GARETH HURLEY reminds us of the links between the great writer and the Chesterton circle

All this is surely plain enough to any patriot who has read *The Lord of the Rings*; and, as we know, Tolkein was a patriot and so his mindset was ours, regardless of nuances of ideology.

However, I can tell fellow patriots of an even more astounding link between Tolkein, *The Lord of the Rings*, *Candour*



**A.K. CHESTERTON
Corresponded with Tolkein**

and Nationalism *per se*.

The lady who took over the editorship of *Candour* newsletter was the late, great, Rosine de Bounevalle, who passed away on Christmas Day, 1999.

Anyone who had occasion to correspond with or visit Miss de Bounevalle will surely remember her fondly. She, like any Hobbit, was quite a character, and the mountains of literature and correspondence, mixed with many cups of tea, glasses of wine, half-finished games of backgammon, not to mention the constant comings and goings of locals, guests, *Candour*-readers *et al.*, made her home Forest House the quintessential Hobbit residence (albeit with stairs).

Rosine was insistent that a letter from J.R.R. Tolkein to AK Chesterton stated categorically that the character Smeagol (or Gollum), a Hobbit-like creature turned bad, was based on "a South African Jew" that JRR had once known.

Sadly, the letter seems to have been lost because Rosine - despite many efforts - never saw it in recent years. Perhaps it was sold on with other parts of AKC's estate?

So, as well as making us realise that JRR was not merely a 'subscriber' to *Candour*,

but was also a correspondent with A.K. Chesterton (further enhancing his patriotic qualifications, if that were necessary).

When it came to Rosine de Bounevalle, '*Candour*' was a truly apt title. Again, those who knew her can testify that she would often be candid with the facts - even if her candour wouldn't be appreciated by her audience, or regardless of how high or low in social stature her audience was (or how numerically superior!); so that is why when Rosine testified to the letter's existence many times to her close friends I had no doubt that it existed and that the Gollum part was also true.

Seek the truth!

In closing, I would urge all patriots to avail themselves of not only *The Lord of the Rings* - a wonderful tale of heroism and loyalty but also of betrayal and the need for sacrifice. In addition, I would stress the importance of the works of both G.K. Chesterton and his cousin A.K. Chesterton - both wonderful writers; and a wealth of material still exists 'out there' from GKC and AKC, to which patriots can turn for knowledge on power politics, monetary reform, social issues and much more!

Apart from all this, *Candour* newsletter still exists, as does its plethora of back issues going right back to the 1950s, containing a tremendous amount of material from A.K. Chesterton, Rosine de Bounevalle and many other passionate patriots!

One should start by contacting:-

- **The A.K. Chesterton Trust/Candour Newsletter, BM Candour, London, WC1N 3XX.**
- **The G.K. Chesterton Society, 11 Lawrence Leys, Bloxham, Oxon., OX15 4NH.**
- **The G. K. Chesterton Study Centre, Plater College, Oxford University, Pullens Lane, Oxford, OX3 0DT.**

Bear in mind that not all of these will be politicised bodies *per se*.

Failing that, patriots could try searching for works by these writers in their local reference libraries (safely hidden away for the public!). That is where I found copies of many of GKC's works and even a hardback copy of AKC's seminal work *The New Unhappy Lords*.

There is a wealth of material out there of which patriots, especially of the younger generation, could and should avail themselves. Not to do so would be to betray many years of patriotic struggle and writing.

This material is even more relevant today than it was when it was first written - and it is up to us to keep alive this rich vein of thought, and to use the talents of these great writers and thinkers when converting ideals into action!

And what is the crime if we use the popularity of Tolkein's work to open such a rich seam of material?

Is a media war breaking out?

AN extraordinary 5,000-word article highly critical of Britain's immigration policy appeared recently as a major cover story in *The Times* Section Two (T2) supplement recently. This caused quite a stir in the liberal establishment, since all the stops were pulled out to attack it. Anthony Browne, an environmental correspondent, said what *Spearhead* readers have known for years: there is no economic or cultural benefit whatsoever arising from immigration; on the contrary, there is nothing but trouble ahead for this country, and trouble with a vengeance. Browne put himself virtually in the position of a writer on *Pravda* in the days of the old Soviet Union, declaring in bold italics that communism was a load of rubbish and the sooner it was ditched the better.

Journalists, says ANTONY MILNE, are facing a conflict between their career interests and their reputations for professional integrity

So strong and outspoken was his article that it is worth quoting a few excerpts from it:

"About a quarter of a million people are coming to Britain from the Third World each year: a city the size of Cambridge every six months, an unprecedented and sustained wave of immigration to one of the world's most densely crowded islands, utterly transforming the society in which we live against the wishes of the majority of the population, damaging quality of life and social cohesion, exacerbating the housing crisis and congestion, and with questionable economic benefits... There are a million Indians, almost 700,000 Pakistanis, 260,000 Bangladeshis, up to a million Nigerians, over 100,000 Iraqis... In the past five years, while the white population grew by one percent, the Bangladeshi community grew by 30 percent, the Black African population by 37 percent and the Pakistani community by 13 percent... What is happening now is the result of migration pressures the likes of which the world has never seen before. For the first time, the world has huge disparities of wealth, widespread knowledge in the poor world of how the rich world lives and how to get there through TV and global telecommunications, and cheap, quick, worldwide transport... Of course, it is all culturally enriching – those restaurants! – but surveys tell us that most Britons do not want to be culturally enriched... I dare the immigration celebrants to order the Nigerians to accept millions of Arabs, Whites, Indians and Chinese to enrich their culture, or the Indians to accept millions of Chinese, Africans, Arabs and Whites to enrich theirs... A hard-left friend says in frustration: 'If we went to their country and did what they are doing here, it would be totally unacceptable.'

The question those on the Right will be asking is whether this is just a one-off, a kind of diversionary tactic, to be followed by a long period of silence? Other writers on *The Times* seem to have taken not a blind bit of notice of it. Why do people whinge so much? asked the liberal journalist Libby Purves, a few days after the article appeared. She listed several topics, such as dodgy schools, the state of public transport, the NHS and long working hours. Nothing, of course, about crime and asylum-seekers – the main 'whinge' of people interviewed by pollsters.

But others can't help but see the elephant in the dining room, and talking about the dirty picture rails is getting close to Alzheimer's Disease. Journalists know they will have to tackle the issue pretty soon, and on a regular basis. A kind of media civil war seems already to be breaking out. Several writers, like Peter Hitchens, Melanie Phillips, Trevor Kavanagh and Andrew Alex-

ander are mentioning the unmentionable, and hint of home-grown censorship on the subject of immigration. They suspect the word 'racism' has been used a little too often in newspaper columns for the good of the media as a whole, as it has handicapped journalists' ability to criticise the government's policies more openly.

Hitchens writes in *The Mail on Sunday*: "People like me, with generally conservative views, often face nasty censorship based on the slander that we're really secret Nazis. Yet if the Left's heroes behave in a way that is openly racist, they get away with it... In the past, a fear of such baseless, hypocritical smears may have made me over-cautious – but not any longer." Browne himself writes: "I know knee-jerk accusations of racism and xenophobia will be fired at me by those who make careers out of suppressing legitimate debate."

FEAR OF 'RACISM'


Some fear for the future of democratic politics. Kavanagh wrote: "The Tories will not raise the issue for fear of being branded 'racist'. But it is not racist to ask questions about the people who invite themselves here."

Many of these journalists are getting fed up with the way the word 'media' has become a term of abuse in Britain. The attacks are beginning to hurt. For years, journalists have lived with their lowly reputation, ranking alongside politicians, used-car dealers and double-glazing salesmen. But people don't like their professionalism impugned. The media cannot allow themselves to be thought of as an adjunct of government, or to be accused of political naïvety, or of failing to understand the dramatic and shifting cultural trends occurring in post-cold war western societies.

Why, some ask, did they allow political correctness to get into the media when, in the early 1980s, it was rightly subjected to ridicule when it first surfaced in the universities in California? Why, although North African immigrants have been pouring into France unchecked for the last 20 years, did the media not only not foresee the inevitable rise of the French Right, but suffered such a shock that it reacted by hurling violent verbal abuse at the presidential candidate Jean-Marie Le Pen?

Was George Walden right (see *Spearhead*, April 2002) when

MORE TROUBLE!
Here French police are seen in a stand-off with asylum-seekers who have barricaded themselves in a church near Calais. Guess where they're all headed for!



he said that journalists and broadcasters had not kept their distance from those who have become part of a wider agency of social control, and enmeshed themselves with the multinationals, the arts community and politicians?

It is clear that the media have grown too large, and have become a career choice for those who are too culturally similar to other powerful forces in this country that they should have been questioning and monitoring, such as the environmentalists, the post-modernists, the feminists and the race relations lobby. Reporters are guilty of putting their own emotions at the centre of a story, of substituting feelings for facts. In addition, the more restrained print journalists have allowed themselves to be unduly influenced by their more left-liberal and picture-oriented multicultural broadcasters and advertisers.

To put it more crudely, the media have allowed themselves to become patsies, dimmies, cowards and tear-shedding emotional jerks. They have dumbed down their content to such an extent that they've become a laughing stock (*The Times* recently ran a full length article on farting!).

Still, perhaps things are beginning to change at last. When one reaches the depths, the only way to go is up. The elephant is starting to smash its way out of the front door and into the editorial offices of Britain's newspapers and TV networks. If the occupants don't smarten their ideas up they could get trampled to death!

WHOSE JERUSALEM?

WE ARE hearing a lot about the need for 'urban regeneration' these days, along with government promises to achieve this via public works and investments of one kind or another. The 'need' referred to clearly acknowledges that the quality of life in our towns and cities has manifestly degenerated enough to become a political priority. It is therefore entirely appropriate to identify the real causes of this degeneration, in order to decide whether any governmental proposals are at all likely to remedy the situation.

Experience has shown that the most well-intentioned public housing developments, recreation centres, parks and other civic amenities are soon afflicted with crime, vandalism, litter, noise pollution and inter-racial strife. All of which prompt the usual political and sociological prescriptions like "building a community spirit," "revitalising the local economy," "racial integration" and – a last despairing plea – "more bobbies on the beat." The fatal flaw in all these town-planning and public-works schemes is the assumption that 'community spirit' is the product of liberal indoctrination and legislation, enforced cohabitation of disparate religious and ethnic groups, appeals for mutual tolerance, and a few ostentatious building projects. This social engineering doctrine is deeply embedded in central and local government to an extent which precludes any real improvement in the quality of life for most of the indigenous British people. Its exponents labour under the Frankenstein conceit that, given enough resources, they can create something better than nature and evolution have managed to do for social development over several thousand years.

Such people generate the social pathology of our towns and cities and then offer their services as healers.

Need for discrimination

The single most important factor affecting the formation, coherence, stability and growth of any society worthy of the name is *discrimination*; that is to say, an easily recognised and understood 'us-and-them' concept of identity. This is one side of the patriotic coinage, the other being *loyalty*; and you can't have one without the other. But what passes for liberal politics these days has debased the currency of national identity. In its besotted condemnation of discrimination it has thereby devalued loyalty to those traditions, beliefs and values which made British society, for all its imperfections, the envy of the world.

Liberal ideology, says FRANK KIMBAL JOHNSON, is hopelessly ill-adapted to dealing with problems of urban squalor

Deprive people of the right to discriminate according to their basic sense of loyalty and you drive a stake into the heart of any would-be society. When indiscriminate cohabitation of manifestly disparate groups, religious or ethnic, has to be enforced by law, we no longer have a society at all.

Civic squalor is therefore the environmental concomitant of indiscriminate social engineering. Like the psychotic individual totally lacking insight into his own condition (and therefore blaming everybody else for his problems), the liberal bigots in central

felt obliged to say that there's no such thing as 'society' when – on the most charitable assumption – she was alluding to the social engineering use of the word, which mistakes labels for real entities.

The racial dimension of urban degeneracy is not merely ignored or suppressed by governmental agencies and liberal pundits; anyone drawing attention to it is liable to legal harassment for 'incitement to racial hatred'. The same bigots would doubtless accuse the boy in Hans Anderson's fable of obscenity for remarking that the king was



COMMUNAL STRIFE

This burnt shell of a car was one of many which appeared in the wake of a race riot in Leeds in the Summer of 2001. No proper urban regeneration can occur until governments recognise the impossibility of disparate communities living together in the same space.

and local government now blame the British people for the squalid consequences of their own making. This is encapsulated in the dog-eared accusations of 'institutional racism', 'male chauvinism' and 'homophobia' now dominating liberal 'Newspeak', with enthusiastic applause from alien and neurotic malcontents everywhere. So when government is manifestly disloyal to its own people and indiscriminate in its largesse; and when it also criminalises dissent from its own warped order of priorities, is it really surprising to witness the inevitable descent into civic squalor, contempt for authority, and lawlessness?

Sources of slums

The wry observation that "slums are people, not places" simply recognises the fact that social squalor precedes its environmental equivalent. Even Margaret Thatcher

actually naked! Any item in the politicians' manifesto – and this includes improving the quality of life in our towns and cities – has to be related to the people whose interests it is supposed to serve. And this litmus test at once separates the bogus philanthropists from the genuine patriots – it being clear enough by now that you can have urban regeneration or imposed multi-racialism, but you cannot have both. Blake's 'Jerusalem' is never to be confused with the unhappy Palestinian/Israeli estate of that name.

It goes without saying that none of this diminishes the importance of sensible town planning and environmental conservation. But when this is totally divorced from any sense of loyalty to the British people as a race and nation it becomes a monstrous fraud and a criminal waste of public resources. Only people with a shared kin-

ship, heritage and traditional values, and with a unique cultural potential, can build and maintain a civilisation worthy of the name. The cosmopolitan fantasies of liberal politicians only produce what is nowadays euphemistically described as 'inner cities'. We need an itinerant social observer like the 19th century Cobbett to assemble the grim facts about all those native Britons now miserably imprisoned by the liberal conceit in Third World squalor and delinquency – and about the 'white flight' by the more affluent to outer suburbs and rural retreats.

You cannot build churches, mosques, temples and synagogues on the same site; nor can you build/rebuild British towns and cities to multi-racial specifications. Every news bulletin and all of history testifies to the instability and decline of countries where fundamentally disparate groups are competing for territory and cultural dominance. It is therefore no exaggeration to say that our 'inner cities' (or alien-occupied zones) are the thin end of a wedge of civil war. It is not the native British people but their politicians who need re-education in the realities of

today's world; and this should include enforced sojourn in places like Palestine, Chechnya, Kashmir, Algiers, Pakistan, India and Ulster, where countless millions only share anxiety about the future.

So when you next hear all the claptrap about 'urban regeneration', ask the speaker how this squares with the continuing admission of over a hundred thousand Third World immigrants to Britain annually. The question will of course brand you as a 'racist', while the speaker exits in the direction of his expensive suburb or rural retreat.

WANT SOME INFORMATION? THAT'LL BE TWENTY-FIVE QUID PLEASE!

This item would be ideally suited to our 'Madhouse Britain' column were it not for the fact that it is rather too long.

Recently, our editor e-mailed BNP chairman Nick Griffin with a query concerning current and past print-runs of *Identity* magazine. This followed a study of the party's financial statement for the year 2000-01, which gave certain figures for the magazine's outgoing expenses. Mr. Tyndall, interested in these figures, wanted to ascer-

tain from them what the printing costs of *Identity* were – something which could be worked out by relating total printing bills to the numbers of copies printed.

This seemed to Mr. Tyndall to be a perfectly reasonable request for a paid-up member of the BNP to make. Members are entitled to receive statements of party income and expenditure, and the same should surely apply to costs involved in the production of the party's official magazine – which those members are expected to support.

In reply to Mr. Tyndall's request, he received an e-mail from BNP Treasurer John Brayshaw, which can only be described as extraordinary. We reproduce it exactly as it was received, with no corrections:-

"Requests for information of this nature are required to be made in writing to PO Box 16, YORK YO61 3YH. Due to our heavy work load and dept. costs we regret that a information retrieval and processing cost of £25.00 per request must be made. Please make cheques out to the 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'.

Preceding these words were others at least equally extraordinary. Again without correction, they are reproduced:-

"This e-mail is meant for the requester's eyes only, and will be considered an act of gross misconduct should it be copied or distributed within or outside the party."

It is not certain whether these latter words are intended to mean that the inform-

ation requested, if supplied for £25.00, is for the 'requester's' eyes only or whether this applies to the quoted e-mail message. In whatever event, we are making the contents of the e-mail known because we believe they should be made known. The administrative work involved in supplying the requested information should take no more than a few minutes; to make a charge of £25.00 for such a service is utterly scandalous.

And Mr. Tyndall was not the only party member to undergo this experience. Another member wrote to the same source asking for a list of the 29 people (since risen to 30) now on the BNP payroll, and he received exactly the same reply: That'll be £25.00 please!

No, we are not making these stories up! Copies of the e-mails can be supplied on request to anyone in doubt.

The members of the BNP pay subscriptions and send in donations to the party in order to maintain, among other things, a party administration. It is an outrage that, in addition to this, they should be required to pay a £25.00 fee for such a simple service.

As for the stricture that such information, whatever it is, may not be disclosed, that reeks of a feeling of guilt, of something to hide. This is information to which all card-carrying and subscription-paying party members are entitled. That is why we are revealing it here. **And we are doing it free of charge!**

FRIENDS OF SPEARHEAD


Friends of Spearhead is a support group that has been formed for the purpose of ensuring our magazine's continued survival.

Members of Friends of Spearhead pay a subscription of a minimum of £10 a month, in return for which they may, if they wish, receive five copies of each monthly issue for redistribution (by whatever means they prefer). This subscription may be paid by cheque or direct debit, in which case our bank details will be supplied.

In addition, Friends of Spearhead undertakes certain other promotions, details of which are advertised as they occur.

We hope very much that our readers and supporters, not only in the UK but around the world, will rally to help us in this project.

Friends of Spearhead can be contacted at PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.



SAGA TRIBUTE TO SKREWDRIVER

This CD is just full of Patriotic Ballads originally from Ian Stuart and Skrewdriver of the White Pride Music scene. The lyrics and tunes have been re-set and produced, in English, by Saga, the nationalist songstress discovered in Sweden.

This pleasant setting makes the music and words a great introduction for older nationalists of the feeling of a new generation flocking to our cause.

Just £12 each inc. p&p from:

Tyr Services,
BCM Tyr
London
WC1N 3XX

Cheques or money orders payable to 'Tyr Services' please.

An American takes a look at the 'Bush Doctrine'

WHILE nationalists and others cautioned the Bush administration to learn the proper lessons from 9/11 and return to America's historic and moral foreign policy of America First, the administration instead began to beat the drums of world empire far louder than before, culminating in the release of the new Bush Doctrine on September 20 – a 33-page document that openly proclaims Washington's intention to run the world and 'pre-emptively' eliminate all present and future rivals.

But the Bush-ites had such plans in mind even before Bush became President, according to an article in the British paper the *Sunday Herald* of 15/9/02. The *Herald* obtained a copy of a secret blueprint for US global domination written for administration super-hawks Dick Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld, Rumsfeld's deputy Paul Wolfowitz, Bush's younger brother Jeb, and Lewis Libby, Cheney's chief of staff.

The document, titled 'Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategies, Forces and Resources For A New Century', was written in September 2000 by a neo-conservative think tank called 'The Project for the New American Century' (PNAC).

According to the article in the *Herald*, the document calls for the Bush administration to take military control of the Persian Gulf region whether or not Saddam Hussein was still in power. It also advocates a "blueprint for maintaining global US pre-eminence, precluding the rise of a great power rival, and shaping the international security order in line with American principles and interests."

The 'New American Frontier'

US armed forces, scattered across the world in dozens of countries, are "the cavalry on the new American frontier." The document agrees with an earlier document written by Wolfowitz and Libby that said the US must "discourage advanced industrial nations from challenging our leadership or even aspiring to a larger regional or global role," according to the *Herald* article, adding that America's 'grand strategy' must be advanced "as far into the future as possible," with the US being able to "fight and decisively win multiple, simultaneous major theatre wars" as a "core mission."

The PNAC paper also calls for:-

- Using key allies such as Great Britain as "the most effective means of exercising American global leadership."
- Keeping 'peace-keeping missions' under Washington's control rather than the UN.
- Staving off Europe as a potential rival.
- Permanent use of US bases in Saudi Arabia and Kuwait for stationing troops no matter how much domestic opposition there is in those nations to it, as Iran is seen as "a large a threat to US interests as Iraq has been."
- Advocating 'régime change' in China, saying: "It is time to increase the presence of US forces in Southeast Asia," leading to "providing the spur to the process of democratization in China."
- The possible development of US biological weapons, adding: "New methods of attack – electronic, 'non-lethal', biological – will be more widely available... combat likely will take place in new dimensions, in space, cyberspace, and perhaps the world of microbes... advanced forms of biological warfare that can 'target' specific genotypes

Reproduced with acknowledgements to The Nationalist Times, PO Box 426, Allison Park, PA 15101, U.S.A.

may transform biological warfare from the realm of terror to a politically useful tool."

And on and on it goes. The ideas of the PNAC document have indeed become the agenda of the Bush administration, which has quickly forgotten about the original goal of the 'War on Terror' – bringing Osama bin Laden and his associates to justice – and has focused instead on instituting a foreign policy of 'régime change' – conquering countries that offend it, beginning with Iraq and, according to armchair General Rush Limbaugh, moving next to Iran, Syria, Libya, Lebanon, North Korea and possibly even Saudi Arabia and others in an as yet unspecified order of conquest.

With the release to Congress of the 'Bush Doctrine' of pre-emptive strikes and open, uncontested world domination, the administration has codified its pre-election strategy. Called "The National Security Strategy of the United States," it was released right after Bush spoke to the United Nations and makes clear what *The Nationalist Times* has been stating for years – to the extent the UN cooperates with Washington that is fine with Washington, but the NWO emanates from Washington, DC, and will continue to do so.

The Bush Doctrine holds that the former strategies of 'containment' and 'deterrence' are all but dead, even though the US is now stronger versus the rest of the world in military terms than it has been at any time since the immediate post-WWII era. Those who "hate the United States and everything for which it stands" are to be attacked 'pre-emptively', and "Our forces will be strong enough," the document states, "to

dissuade potential adversaries from pursuing a military buildup in hopes of surpassing, or equaling, the power of the United States."

An article in the *International Herald Tribune*

states that a "senior White House official said that Bush had edited the document heavily because he thought there were sections where we

sounded overbearing or arrogant." It is hard to imagine what those deleted sections said, given the arrogant and overbearing tone of the entire document; then again it is difficult to imagine Bush "heavily editing the document" rather than merely signing his name to what was put in front of him.

Full of Zionists

The Bush administration is almost entirely bereft of actual military heroes, but it is filled with pasty-faced Zionists who want to smash the Arab world, along with Big Business executives who want to control the world's oil supply.

The globalist élites' desire to run the world by destroying races, cultures and religions has come to fruition with the onset of the Bush administration. One of the sad ironies of the situation is that the entire insanity is enabled in large part by conservative Christians, many of whom apparently want to speed up the end of the world so that they can be whisked up to Heaven for their reward while the Earth below screams in pain.

The mentality of the current administration is well summed up by this quote from neo-conservative Robert Kaplan in his book *Warrior Politics: Why Leadership Demands a Pagan Ethos* (2002):-

"The more successful our foreign policy, the more leverage America will have in the world. Thus, the more likely that future historians will look back on the twenty-first century United States as an empire as well as a republic, however different from that of Rome and every other empire throughout history. For as the decades and the centuries march on, and the United States has had a hundred presidents, or 150 even, instead of forty-three, and they appear

Contd. on page 27

The strike against Iraq was planned before Dubya even came to office, says DAVE ROLLINS

And more 'Hurdles' to come?

It probably seemed like good business when, maybe as long as 150 years ago, Lloyds of London sold insurance to plantation-owners whereby they might claim compensation if a slave took it into his or her head to run away. So, in a very roundabout way, this institution profited from slavery, and is one of many now being targeted for compensation. Our story begins with one Andrew Jackson Hurdle who, having escaped to join the Union army in the American Civil War, returned to Texas, got married, sired several children, got married again and procreated eight more Hurdles. Recently two of these progeny, Andrew and Chester, filed a lawsuit against a dozen corporations which, if successful, could net them £1 million each, this figure being based on Dad's notional unpaid wages plus interest to date. What an excellent bandwagon to be on! Perhaps we should each investigate our family history to find out if any forebears were among those little children made to crawl up chimneys or forced to labour in Blake's *Dark Satanic Mills*. But there again, is it likely that any of those oppressed mites would have survived long enough, and have been sufficiently robust, to father one kid, let alone a dozen?

Expenses charged to capital

Fifty-seven years after the end of World War Two, and the never-ending story goes on, and on... and on. The latest chapter concerns German insurance companies who have agreed to pay out £64 million in respect of life policies allegedly taken out pre-war by persons who did not survive internment, and whose relatives have been unable to produce documentation to validate a claim. Of course, everyone needs to be told when there is a bonanza on the way, so Lawrence Eagleburger, the £225,000-a-year chairman of the International Commission for Holocaust Era Insurance Claims, decided to spend £6 million on a publicity campaign appealing for 'claimants' to come forward. Of the 87,000 responses, 29,000 were disqualified because they came from the former Soviet Union

THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

Some observations on contemporary society
by JUNIUS

and, of the remainder, only about a quarter of these relatives could actually remember the name of the insurer. With their legendary reputation for prudence, the companies checked every application and ran up a colossal bill of £15 million, which they then charged against the original sum allocated for distribution – to the fury of those beneficiaries who had lodged accepted claims. How unfeeling can you get?

For our own part, unflustered by the carping and wailing of revisionists, we will continue to espouse the worthy cause of those whose relatives suffered during the Peasants' Revolt of 1381.

A German Requiem

Staying in Germany if we may, it is our sad duty to offer condolences to that 61 per cent of our cousins across the North Sea who wish that they had never heard of the euro. The dole queue in Germany now stands at 4.12 millions, that is 10 per cent of the workforce. There is a budget 'black hole' of £11 billion which could rise to £16 billion in 2003, and the government has already had a wigging, and could even be fined, for failing to keep its spending deficit within the 3 per cent of gross domestic product permitted under the EU stability and growth pact. The painful fact is that Germany no longer runs its own economy and, with the foreshadowed enlargement of the European Union, things can only get worse.

In token protest, euro-rebels are flocking to the little northern town of Kropf, where enterprising traders are still accepting the once cherished but now defunct D-mark. More realistically, people in all walks of life, all over the land, are beginning to ask themselves what has been gained by embracing the single currency, and are finding it increasingly hard to come up

with a meaningful answer. As for our British folk, they need to reflect on this: that when a nation cedes the right to manage its own affairs, then sovereignty flies out of the window and does not return.

Dead as a dodo

"But he's just too nice and too quiet. We need someone who is going to go out there and clobber Tony Blair." This Tory backwoodsman is, of course, referring to his leader Iain Duncan Smith. He then goes on to plead the cause of Kenneth Clarke, the archetype political charlatan of the present age, saying: "I know he'd give Tony Blair a mauling in Parliament." So this is what it has come down to. The choice as to who governs this once great nation ultimately depends on who is the cleverest cleverdick in the Westminster talking shop! Is it any wonder that almost half our people do not bother to vote? And now even the Labourites are becoming scared because there is no safety-valve in the shape of a really credible opposition. They are looking at Burnley, Oldham and Stoke-on-Trent and wondering what will happen next. Into battle BNP! The field is yours for the taking. The enemy has begun to slaughter himself!

Billionaires Row

During 1992, Hungarian-born George Soros borrowed sterling to the tune of £6.5 billion, with which he bought Deutschmarks and French francs. On September 16th of that year, after frantic efforts to shore up the collapsing pound which cost British taxpayers around £4 billion, the United Kingdom was forced to quit the EU Exchange-Rate Mechanism while Mr. Soros walked away with a profit of over £1 billion!

Our apologies for that mini-excursion into economics, but it seemed necessary to look at how this gentleman makes his money before coming to con-

sider what he does with it. One of his pet projects is the Open Society Institute, which recently reported on the situation of Muslims in Britain. This report recommends that schoolchildren from the Muslim community should be taught Arabic in order to help them integrate into British society! Apparently most of them have very little understanding of Islam apart from what they learn during evening tuition at local mosques, which valuable instruction leaves them less time for their regular homework. The report further claims that Muslims are Britain's most disadvantaged religious group and that more needs to be done to further their integration! May we test Mr. Soros's philanthropic spirit by suggesting that he should pay back his ill-gotten gains to our Treasury, and then retire to some deserted island to be heard of no more.

As a footnote, we should add that our escape from the ERM boosted the UK economy, and also the public's perception, entirely mistaken of course, of the succeeding Chancellor, Kenneth Clarke, that same walking disaster who never bothered to read the Maastricht Treaty, and who cannot wait to get us into the straightjacket of the single currency.

A pagan fortune teller

"Then she [Badb, Gaelic goddess of war], added a prophecy in which she foretold the approaching end of a divine age, and the beginning of a new one in which summers would be flowerless and cows milkless and women shameless and men strengthless, in which there would be trees without fruit and seas without fish, when old men would give false judgments and legislators make unjust laws, when warriors would betray one another, and men would be thieves, and there would be no more virtue left in the world."

(*Celtic Myths and Legends* by Charles Squire)

In many respects we would appear to be on course as far as this prophecy is concerned. And it seems to us that genetic engineering, cloning etc., plus the untiring efforts of the European Commission, should tie up any loose ends over the next couple of decades. That is unless George and Tony contrive to get us all blown to bits in the meantime.



The Editor
'Spearhead'
PO Box 2471
Hove
E. Sussex BN3 4DT

SIR: I have been prompted to write this letter after the recent *Dispatches* programme about Mark Collett and the Young BNP. What a debacle!

Who saw fit to allow an immature 21-year-old boy to do a man's work, which this programme required? Nick Griffin of course! There he was heaping praise on Collett at the RWB – even going so far as to suggest that Collett may be the future BNP leader some day!

The damage this programme has caused to our credibility is immense. At my local working men's club, where we had a great deal of support, there is disbelief that such a person should be expected, let alone allowed, to carry such a responsibility. I have had the same responses elsewhere.

Griffin bangs on about the BNP's "larger and more sophisticated internal machinery and management structure" (for which the party's wage bill has risen so dramatically). Where was there any sign of it in the making of this programme? Griffin is ultimately to blame for this situation because it is his mismanagement that has caused it.

JIM ROBERTS
London E.17

SIR: I write in connection with the broadcast on Channel Four of the programme about the Young BNP.

Despite all your warnings down the years about traps laid by the media, the interviewee, Mark Collett, fell right into just such a trap by allowing himself to be filmed and recorded in such an embarrassing way for the party.

Why was the party chairman, Mr. Griffin, not involved in the making of this programme? Surely he must have been aware that the object was to shoot us down. Did he not discuss things with the producers and with Collett beforehand, or did he just leave it all to Mark to put his foot right in it?

T.D. FOSTER
Edgware, Middlesex

EDITOR'S NOTE: The above letters are only two of many that we have received expressing highly critical comments on the *Dispatches* programme, and even these have had to be greatly abridged. We could have easily filled an extra letter page with the remainder and still had letters left over.

SIR: Your article 'How "English" is this World Cup team (July issue) and the comment made last month about football 'racists' overlooked one fact. A number of very good English-born players, employed by English league clubs are made eligible

for selection for the Irish Republic international squad by virtue of Irish grandparentage or other ancestry. They should certainly be given a chance to represent England before players of Afro-Caribbean origin.

PAUL BORG
London E.13

SIR: With the recent *Migrationwatch* disclosures that £125 billion of public money will be spent on building 2.1 million homes for illegal immigrants over the next 20 years, and the fact that welfare expenditure on asylum-seekers already costs the nation £1.25 billion annually, can the liberal establishment not see that its policies simply are not working?

Let us examine the scenario that the trendy lefties and do-gooders the world over are aiming at: namely no national barriers and open-door immigration. The West would be inundated by economic migrants. Fast-forward, say, sixty years, and with massive over-population and little or no manufacturing industry Britain would find itself bankrupt. Millions would be homeless, unemployed and starving.

In essence, this country and the West would become what the Third World is now.

D. SUMMERS
Sale, Ches.

SIR: Simon Sheppard's article 'Why many women prefer non-white males' (*Spearhead*, November) was very interesting and I'm sure, in evolutionary terms, very accurate. However, I don't think he emphasised enough one of the most likely reasons in today's fashion-conscious society why some women of all classes like to be seen with a black partner: that of sheer one-upmanship.

It's a novelty to have a black lover – something to talk about to your friends. Fifty years ago it might have been that some women opted for a boyfriend with a car – or an American accent!

To be seen as politically correct and non-racist is very desirable to many; in today's society, with its obsession with racial and sexual equality, to compare black with white lovers has become acceptable, even desirable.

So, no need to look for deep psychological analysis when there are simpler explanations.

P.J. ELVIDGE (Mrs.)
Gainsborough, Lincs.

SIR: In regard to the article by Simon Sheppard concerning the reason why some white women prefer non-white males, I agree with his thesis of the feminisation of our society, but I consider the reasons he gives for this particular form of unnatural conduct to be an oversimplification.

I can think of two other, and opposite, reasons, which contradict the theory of the female manipulating the male. Firstly, an uninhibited, promiscuous female with a masochistic temperament will desire to be dominated and to suffer pseudo-humiliation. This person will be inclined to feel that

the polite, diffident approach of the 'average' white man is uninspiring, and will turn instead to a sexually more assertive Black for satisfaction.

Secondly, there is the opposite: the old-fashioned, well-brought-up young lady, who considers anything pertaining to sex as being dirty and/or sinful but who unfortunately has an excessively libido. Confronted with a white man in an erotic situation, she is inhibited and unable to express herself fully.

Now there is a psychological condition known as a *non-persona* complex. When the latter type of girl meets a Black he appears to her to be so very different from her own folk that, initially at least, she regards him as a 'non-person', with the result that she will experience a dramatic relaxation of her inhibitions, enabling her to overcome the taboo and act naturally, in other words behave in a manner lacking in civilised restraint and utterly abhorrent to her 'better self'.

PETER WILLIAMS
Potter Heigham, Norfolk

SIR: On the *Today* programme of November 12th it was revealed that Muslim leaders in Britain have condemned the Government's recent and long-awaited criticism of arranged marriages from overseas, saying that such marriages are part of Muslim culture. It goes without saying that massive sums of money are exchanged in these transactions with Muslim girls living in Britain having no say in whom they marry and who are subsequently brought into this country.

What more glaring example of cultural differences could be illustrated? And why weren't these consequences foreseen when the Muslim invasion started 40 years ago?

It has also recently been disclosed following the discovery of a dismembered torso of a small child in the River Thames that voodoo is now being practised in this country. Animal sacrifice is also common and is overlooked by the authorities; only when human sacrifice is apparent do they act.

In our overcrowded homeland the quality of life will continue to diminish until a vast exodus of these strange customs – and the people who have brought them in – takes place.

SYLVIA NOBLE (Mrs.)
Colne, Lancs.

SIR: Once again British servicemen are about to be sacrificed to satisfy America's greed for oil. Saddam Hussein's supposed stock of weapons of mass destruction is merely the Americans' excuse for launching carnage. Incidentally, when are inspectors going to be sent into the United States to look at its weapons of mass-destruction?

It looks as if, because of the New World Order, globalisation, big business and American imperialism British troops will again be risked and we shall foot the bill.

RONALD RICKCORD
Newport Pagnell, Bucks.

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from Excalibur Merchandise, PO Box 16, York YO61 3YH. Cheques/POs made payable to 'British Heritage'.

B.N.P. PUBLICATIONS

BNP Activists' Handbook

This handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages.

£2.00 post-free.

Spreading the Word

A handbook on propaganda designed to supplement the *Activists' Handbook*. Illustrated, *Spreading the Word* runs to 60 pages and contains five sections:- (1) General Principles of Propaganda; (2) Recruitment; (3) Canvassing for Elections; (4) Speaking to the Media; and (5) Speaking from the platform and presentation of party meetings. Special attention is also given to dealing with enemy smears.

£2.00 post-free.

Freedom for Britain and the British

The BNP's Manifesto for the 1999 Euro Election. 24 pages long, it covers all the key areas in which EU membership is undermining Britain's traditional freedoms.

£2.16 post-free

B.N.P. LEAFLETS

Say 'No to Muslim extremism!'

Spotlights the main danger from Islamic extremists - not fanatics thousands of miles away the other side of the world but people here in Britain in our own midst, in our own communities. Price £8.00 for 1,000.

Crime leaflet

With soaring crime rates hitting inner-city, suburban and rural areas alike, this leaflet's mixture of hard facts and humour should prove a winner all over the country. Price £8.00 for 1,000.

Asylum-seekers leaflet

Opposes the open-door policy for asylum-seekers that is allowing hundreds of thousands to flood into Britain each year and be given free homes and benefits. Price £8.00 for 1,000.

Immigration leaflet

Draws attention to the shocking figures that show Britons will be a minority in their country by 2060. A real eye-opener. Price £8.00 for 1,000.

Leaflet supporting Britain's farmers

Condemns destruction of the farming industry. Price £6.00 for 1,000.

Postage rates for all the above leaflets are £6.50 for 1,000 and £7.05 for 2,000. Rates for larger consignments will be supplied on request. Address for leaflet orders and enquiries is PO Box 287, Waltham Cross, Herts. EN8 8ZU.

OTHER ITEMS

Key rings

BNP key rings with logo in red, white and blue are still available at just £1.50 each. Postage 40p per order (overseas £1.00) or free for orders of over £15.00.



Freedom is the official newspaper of the British National Party. Retail price 45p. Sample copy 64p post-free. One-year subscription (British Isles) £8.65. For details of bulk rates and other information, write to: PO Box 1032, Woodford Green, Essex IG8 9GN.

Flags

The BNP has the following flags in stock: Union Jack; St. George's flag; St. Andrew's flag; Welsh Dragon flag; Ulster flag. All flags are priced at £6.00. These flags should be used to decorate party meetings.

Lapel badges

Official BNP badges all in red, white and blue but in different combinations. Union Jack badges also. Price £3.00 plus 40p p&p.

T-shirts

These are illustrated: 'United we stand' (Flags of four British lands against map background of British Isles); 'These colours don't run' (with Union or St. George's flag on mast); 'I stand for justice' (Goddess of Justice with scales against background of Union Jack); 'St. George for England - April 23rd' (with drawing of St. George and the dragon). These capture the very essence of British Nationalism and cannot be recommended too highly. Only available at present in extra-large size, all are in full colour. Price £10 each including p&p. Make cheques/POs payable to 'Freedom Promotions' and send to PO Box 20, Mansfield, Notts. NG19 6HP.

Mousemats

Round BNP mousemats now available at £5.00 each.

Anti-EU car stickers

These 5"x3.5" stickers come in two styles (window or bumper). Please state which one you want. £1.20 each.

PC-CDROM

Issues 1-19 of *Scorpion* magazine now available for your PC at just £15.00. Usual price £5.85 per issue, available only from Freedom Promotions.

Freedom Promotions catalogue

For a full list of books and PC-CDROM send three first class stamps to PO Box 20, Mansfield, Notts. NG19 6HP.

ALLIED PUBLICATIONS

The Truth at Last

Hard-hitting tabloid for American and other white race patriots. *The Truth at Last* is not a BNP publication but the topics are most enlightening, covered as they are with total freedom of speech and frankness. Send £5 note for trial six-month subscription to *The Truth at Last*, PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.

Free Speech

Written transcripts of radio broadcasts made by the late Dr. William L. Pierce and others of the American National Alliance. Of interest to white people all over the world. Appears monthly. Send US\$5 for sample copy. Subscription rates to the UK supplied on request. Write to PO Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946, U.S.A.

Subscribe to Identity!

MONTHLY!



Identity is the official magazine of the British National Party. With 28 full-colour glossy pages and a four-page merchandise insert, plus articles on a wide range of topics, party news and new initiatives, *Identity* is a showcase for modern nationalism and a 'must' for dedicated activists and sympathisers alike.

FULL
COLOUR!

The cover price of *Identity* is £1.95, but all readers of *Spearhead* can obtain their sample copies for a specially reduced post-inclusive price of just £2.00. Please make cheques or postal orders payable to the British National Party, and send to PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ.

ANCIENT BRITAIN (James Dyer) £17.55. With over 130 illustrations and photographs, this book brings to life prehistoric Britain from the first occupation 450,000 years ago to the Roman invasion in 43 AD. A 'must' for enthusiasts of prehistoric agriculture, settlement, trade and ritual. 1990 (rep. 1995), 176pp.

...AND THE TRUTH SHALL SET YOU FREE (David Icke) £16.30. A very individual and controversial study of the global financial and political élite. 1995, 474pp.

ARTHUR'S BRITAIN (Leslie Alcock) £11.25. Convincing picture of life in our country between the 4th and 7th centuries, when Celtic Britain was abandoned by the Roman legions to the Picts, Scots and Anglo-Saxons. 1971 (rep. 1989), 437pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £20.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock) £7.85. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans. 1952 (this ed. 1991), 256pp.

BELL CURVE, THE (Richard Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £28.85. This important book, which has aroused enormous controversy in the USA, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. There is an examination of the theory that these could be accounted for by environmental influences, and this is accorded the remarkably small amount of weight it deserves. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (Prof. W.C. George) £1.50. An academic destroys the myth of race equality by using scientific research. 70pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.50. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EU. 1987, 62pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.85. A strong plea for British independence from the EU bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH, THE (M.I. Ebbutt) £3.40. Sixteen tales of myth and legend that shaped the British consciousness. Illustrated throughout. First published in 1910 (reprint 1996), 374pp.

BY WAY OF DECEPTION (Victor Ostrovsky) £20.60. Elated to have been chosen and granted the privilege of joining the élite team of Mossad, the Israeli secret service, the writer soon learns that the organisation, with its twisted ideals and total lack of respect for human life, has turned the Zionist dream into a nightmare. 361 pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson) £13.75. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate, and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates especially to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley) £22.80. A study of the Anglo-American relationship of 1940-57, showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £20.80. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader and the conflict in which he was at the centre. 1987, 591 pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £31.85. This massive work follows David Irving in its raising of awkward questions concerning Churchill as a national leader, though the author is more moderate in his treatment of his subject

The prices given in this list include postal charges for dispatch within the British Isles. For postage applying to overseas orders, please write first for information before ordering.

Payment should be made in sterling only and should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal or money orders should be made out to 'Freedom Promotions' only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'Freedom Promotions' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address, which is:-

Freedom Promotions

PO Box 20

Mansfield

Notts. NG19 6HP

E-mail: britishfreedom@hotmail.com

New titles are marked *

Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through Freedom Promotions are written by many different authors holding a number of different political and/or religious viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with Freedom Promotions' parent organisation, the British National Party. The party's mail order book service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all the contents of the books are representative of the policies of the party. The party reserves the right to differ with some of the authors over some parts of their writings.


than is Irving. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £12.55. Vitally important book which shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian, more relevant by the year! 643pp.

COMMISSION FOR RACIAL EQUALITY, THE (Ray Honeyford) £36.35. The author, though sympathetic to multi-racialism, exposes the CRE and shows how it has a vested interest in depicting Britain as a society rotten with 'racism'. 1998, 313pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.75. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country. 1966, 76pp.

CONTROL OF THE COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA



AND CONDITIONING OF THE PUBLIC MIND (Col. B.S. Tuner) £10.50. An updated version of articles appearing in *On Target* newsletter. Packed with facts about the ownership of the media and their political bias. 1992, 34pp (A4).

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £20.80. A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.50. An important work on the international money power and its threat to the freedom of nations. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1992, 72pp.

DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED! (John Fairbanks Kerr) £9.30. An Australian author describes how anti-discrimination legislation in Australia, the UK and the US has resulted in absurdities and injustices. 1995, vii, 126pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmot Robertson) £19.35. This book has attracted world-wide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America (and not just between Blacks and Whites). A comprehensive introduction to the subject very relevant to Britain. 1981, 613pp.

ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTION (Edited by Prof. Roger Pearson) £10.00. Various authors explain the evolution of the races of mankind, why the family is the basic unit of all successful societies and why ethnically homogeneous nations are most in accordance with human nature. 92pp.

ELEVENTH HOUR, THE (John Tyndall) Hardback £29.30; paperback £20.82. Comprehensive statement of the case for modern British Nationalism. A partly autobiographical account of the author's origins, first political stirrings and subsequent work in building up the British National Party. A 'must' for all BNP supporters. First published in 1988, this is an updated edition published in 1998, 537pp.

ENGLISH WARRIOR, THE (Stephen Pollington) £16.80.* An account from earliest times to 1066 of the rites, social attitudes, mythology and mentality of Anglo-Saxon warriorhood. This illustrated book is a 'must' for anybody interested in early English military history. 1996, 272pp.

EN ROUTE TO GLOBAL OCCUPATION (Gary H. Kah) £9.95. A high-ranking government liaison official exposes the secret agenda for One World that even the most sceptical observer must take into account. 1991, 224pp.

ESSAY ON THE RESTORATION OF PROPERTY, AN (Hillaire Belloc) £4.00.* In this classic book the author sets out a blueprint for a healthy economy based on well-distributed private property. 1936, 78pp.

EVIL EMPIRE, THE (Paul Hellyer) £6.00. How globalisation is destroying the economy of Canada and turning that country into just another US state. A mirror image of the situation in Britain. 1998, 111pp.

FACING THE ABYSS (A.K. Chesterton) £2.35. A graphic portrayal of our political and social life by one of the outstanding figures of post-war British Nationalism. 1976, 118pp.

FRENCH REVOLUTION, THE (Nesta Webster) £17.25. Reprint of the classic that exposes the plotting behind the first great bloodbath of modern history. 1919 (reprint 1988), 519pp.

GLOBAL MANIPULATORS, THE (Robert Eringer) £7.00. The first comprehensive account of the structure and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission. A report of special interest to students of world affairs. 1980, 96pp.

GREAT AMERICAN BETRAYAL, THE (Patrick Buchanan) £16.85. How American national sovereignty and social justice are being sacrificed to

the gods of the global economy. A powerful plea for national freedom and economic nationalism with great relevance to the UK. 1998, 376pp.

HEREDITY AND HUMANITY (Prof. Roger Pearson) £10.75. Covers race, eugenics and modern science. A scholarly but eminently readable criticism of egalitarianism by the holder of a PhD in Anthropology. 1996, 162pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £11.45. A well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'New World Order'. 1993, 233pp.

JEWISH HISTORY, JEWISH RELIGION (Israel Shahak) £13.00. An absolutely vital book by a brave Israeli professor at Jerusalem University. A real eye-opener on Zionism. 118pp.

KILLING OF THE COUNTRYSIDE, THE (Graham Harvey) £8.85. A former farming journalist describes the havoc wrought by subsidised 'agribusiness' on the environment of rural Britain, on the taxpayers and on the rapidly shrinking number of independent farmers. A truly shocking but important book. 194pp.

LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £9.00. The disgraceful story of how the anti-communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over by the western allies to Stalin for slaughter between 1944 and 1947. 304pp.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £9.25. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

LOOKING FOR THE LOST GODS OF ENGLAND (Kathleen Herbert) £5.45.* Sifting through royal genealogy, charms, verse and various other sources, the authoress finds clues to the names and attributes of the gods and goddesses of the early English. 1994 (rep 2000), 64pp.

MABINOGION (Translated by Lady Charlotte E. Guest) £2.90.* These 12 Welsh prose tales written in the Middle Ages, but thought to date back to the dawn of Celtic civilisation, are a masterpiece of European literature. They are sure to delight scholars, students, lovers of myth and legend and general readers. 1997, 209pp.

MAMMON VERSUS GOD (Dr. Kitty Little) £1.50. The author exposes usury and argues that the international banking system is an enemy of Christianity and western civilisation. 1993, 30pp.

MANY SHADES OF BLACK (John Bean) £9.45. Political autobiography of one of Britain's leading nationalists of the 1950s and 1960s. The writer describes the major developments in the patriotic movement in those times, together with his own assessment of the issues over which it was sometimes divided. 252 pp.


MY AWAKENING (David Duke) £18.85. Perhaps America's foremost nationalist political leader of the present day, the author gives an account of his background, his political development and the stirring campaigns in which he has fought, including the one that resulted in his being elected to the Louisiana Legislature. 1998, 717pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A. Ramsay) £9.10. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War. First published 1952, 128pp.

NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS, THE (Laszo F. Thomay) £8.60. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate nor bigotry but on natural universal laws. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £12.75. An exposure of the financial powers that seek to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

ORIGIN OF THE ENGLISH NATION, THE (H. Munro Chadwick) £ 15.40. An account of the origins of the Saxons, Germans, Jutes and Danes following their invasions and migrations, with relevant maps. 1983, 233pp.



A non-Zionist Jew exposes the Zionists.
(see this page)

involved in the establishment of the Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS (Nesta H. Webster) £15.95. Using a great mass of evidence, this book shows that there is some secret and directing influence behind the subversive movements of modern times, as well as of the past. Its conclusions cannot be shaken by offhand denial. 1924, 419pp.

SHOCKLEY ON EUGENICS AND RACE (Prof. Roger Pearson) £15.00. 22 of Prof. Shockley's original articles on race, eugenics and dysgenic trends in western society. 1992, 300pp.

STANDBEARERS. £7.50. Subtitled 'British Roots of the New Right', this is a collection of essays on 20 political and cultural heroes for British Nationalists, showing that we do not have to look abroad for inspiration in such matters. 1999, 176pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Brian B. Monahan) £9.25. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £3.20. A superb exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. A glimpse 'behind the scenes' of international politics by a renowned expert. 1987, 61 pp.

THUS SPAKE ZARATHUSTRA (Friedrich Nietzsche) £9.20. A doctrine of racial progress towards the development of the Superman. This edition 1969, 343pp.

TRADITIONALISTS' ANTHOLOGY (Elizabeth Freeman) £10.00. A collection of extracts and snippets from many writings of mostly non-liberal authors. Ideal for looking up useful quotes. Written from a Catholic viewpoint. 1984, 171 pp.

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £9.25. Enigmatic businessman defends the concept of the nation-state and points out the perils of global free trade, particularly with the Far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £10.00. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Tory Government of John Major in signing the Maastricht Treaty. 1994, 123pp.

TREASON AT WESTMINSTER (Dr. Kitty Little) £1.25. A look at how organisations infiltrate the Government and Parliament to further their own interests, dealing specifically with those on the extreme left. 1986, 25pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA, THE (Ivor Benson) £5.25. Describes the effects of the 'Wind of Change' in Africa and the agencies responsible for the plight of that continent today. The writer once worked as a public relations adviser to Ian Smith. 3rd ed. 1995, xv, 92pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

WORLD COUPD'ETAT IS PLANNED, A (Dr. Kitty Little) £1.25. An update and new dimension to *Treason at Westminster*, this book explains how the European Union is just another step towards a one-world state. 1997, 16pp.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred Lillenthal) £22.85. Sensational and courageous expose of Zionist power politics by a non-Zionist Jew who got into a great deal of trouble with his co-racialists as a result of this work. 1982, 904pp.

ZIONIST FACTOR, THE (Ivor Benson) £11.50. A study of 20th century history, covering such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, the origins of World War II, the creation of Israel and the relationship between communism and capitalism. One of the very best books for those seeking an understanding of the modern world. 1992, 205pp.

GREAT RESULT IN DOWNHAM!



On the campaign trail. Mary Culnane is seen here meeting a Downham voter

The British National Party achieved a superb result in a local government by-election in the Downham Ward of Lewisham, South London, on November 7th. BNP candidate Mary Culnane trounced the Tories to come third in the poll, with just over 20 per cent of the votes cast. The full results were:-

Mark Morris (LibDem) 998
Andrew Tucker (Labour) 769
Mary Culnane (BNP) 519
Raymond Woolford (Con) 259
Jean Kysow (Soc. Alliance) 41

Downham Ward has long been excellent BNP territory since Richard Edmonds led prolific paper sales there back in the 1980s. Well done, Mary Culnane and her team!

CORRECTION

We have been asked to make a correction to our report in last month's issue concerning a meeting in Bexley.

According to the correction, the Annual General Meeting of Bexley BNP, which was due to be held at one of the area's major landmarks, was cancelled due to the venue booking falling through. At the last minute, ABEX (Association of British Ex-Servicemen) stepped in and booked a small church hall for an ABEX meeting. 115 were in attendance.

Newspaper cuttings

We have said this before but we must say it again.

The Editor is always grateful to receive newspaper cuttings from readers; they often supply news of great value.

However, would those sending them in please remember to mark prominently all items to which they wish to draw the Editor's attention. There just isn't the time to go through whole pages of a paper to find the item being sought.

The Eleventh Hour

The Eleventh Hour has been described as the 'Bible' of the British National Party. First published in 1988, the latest (1998) edition has been updated to take in the many new developments on the political scene occurring since. Written by the founder of the British National Party, John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains extensive analyses of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

The Eleventh Hour runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

Price: Hardback £25.00 plus £4.35 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.49 p&p. Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.

How to obtain Spearhead

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00□; Overseas surface mail £26.50□; Air mail Europe £28.50□; Air mail elsewhere £35.00□.


PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2.50 in the case of banknotes, these paying for banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.


All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

JOHN TYNDALL



The book you must read if you want to find out what John Tyndall and the BNP stand for

TYNDALL AT SLOUGH (17.2.77)



This classic JT recording recalls the speaker's style which made the National Front a powerhouse in the 1970s. Every donor of £10 to our Church will receive a copy absolutely free.

Write to:-

New Christian Crusade Church,
Christian Identity Mission,
221 Dorset House, Duke Street
Chelmsford, Essex CM1 1TB

Cheques and money orders payable to
IDENTITY MISSION

Late next month

This is to inform readers that the January issue of *Spearhead* will be out a little later than usual due to Christmas holidays, which will result in the seasonal closing down of our printers and print-finishers.

AN AMERICAN LOOKS AT THE 'BUSH DOCTRINE'

(Contd. from page 19)

in long lists like the rulers of bygone empires – Roman, Byzantine, Ottoman – the comparison with antiquity may grow rather than diminish. Rome, in particular, is a model for hegemonic power, using various means to encourage a modicum of order in a disorderly world."

Many patriotic Americans have for years been comparing the decline of the US to the fall of Rome. Certainly the comparisons now are more valid than ever – to the point that maybe even conservatives may wake up and realise what's happening before it's too late.

Jailed – for spreading the truth!



PATRIOTIC MARTYR

David Wilson is seen here getting into a car after leaving court earlier in the trial. He has lost his freedom as a result of putting out warnings against Islamic militants.

BNP activist David Wilson made history last month by becoming the first patriot to be convicted under the so-called 'race hatred' laws in Scotland. David was given a four-month sentence at the Glasgow Sheriff Court for distributing leaflets in the Pollokshields area of the city exposing attacks on white people by Asians and warning of more if firm action were not taken. The leaflet drew attention to Islamic militancy, which it claimed was a factor in some of the attacks.

The sentence, though not long in durat-

ion, was vicious in view of the alleged offence involved. The leaflets simply drew attention to events that had actually happened. They told the truth, and hammered home the consequences of New Labour's multi-racial society – consequences which Blair and Co. are now getting extremely worried about as they prepare for possible acts of terrorism by Islamic militants in Britain.

David Wilson has suffered far more than merely the loss of his freedom over this case. His marriage has broken up (he has two children). He joins the ranks of patriotic martyrs who have been jailed as a result of their having the courage to speak out against the Third World invasion of this country.

Right now, David is appealing against his sentence. The BNP in Scotland has launched a fund to help this appeal. Any readers who wish to donate should send cheques or postal orders (no cash) to:-

David Wilson
c/o PO Box 85
Glasgow G51 2DS

Aside from money for the appeal, we are sure that David would appreciate receiving messages of moral support at this difficult time. The messages will reach him if sent to the given address.

GRIFFIN SPEAKS IN CENTRAL LONDON



London meeting: Jason Douglas speaks

November 7th saw members and supporters from all corners of London attend a venue in Central London to hear BNP Chairman Nick Griffin.

The meeting was ably chaired by East London organiser Jason Douglas, who opened proceedings. About 55 were present.

Tony Lecomber spoke next, emphasising in particular the hopelessness of the Tories under Iain Duncan Smith.

In Nick Griffin's speech he stressed the danger of mass immigration of Muslim peoples and said that the media were not revealing the true extent of crimes by members of these groups against white folk. He went on to speak of the excellent BNP result in Stoke, and ended with a call for more members to become active.

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover full production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Also, because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle the magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to *Spearhead*, PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested – in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thanks.

Britain's heritage

THE OTHER PARTIES ARE NOT FIGHTING TO DEFEND IT...



... WE ARE!

For more information on the
British National Party, write
and send 50p to:-

The Secretary
PO Box 287
Waltham Cross
Herts. EN8 8ZU

Tel. 0870 7576 267

Name.....

Address.....

I enclose donation of.....

You can make a photocopy of this page or use a plain piece of paper if you don't want to cut up your copy of *Spearhead*.

STOP PRESS

This issue was sent to the printer a few days before the local government by-election in Blackburn in which the British National Party scored a magnificent victory. Most readers will know that in the election, which took place in the Mill Hill ward, BNP candidate Robin Evans obtained 577 votes (32 per cent of the poll), which put him narrowly ahead of Labour, with 562 votes.

Spearhead offers its warmest congratulations to Robin Evans and his campaign team for this splendid result.